Nonlinearity 24 (2011) 2229–2263

Higher analogues of the discrete-time Toda equation and the quotient-difference algorithm

Paul E Spicer¹, Frank W Nijhoff² and Peter H van der Kamp³

¹ Katholieke Universiteit Leuven, Departement Wiskunde, Celestijnenlaan 200B B-3001 Leuven, Belgium

² School of Mathematics, University of Leeds, Leeds LS2 9JT, UK

³ Department of Mathematics and Statistics, La Trobe University, Victoria 3086, Australia

E-mail: pauldoesmaths@gmail.com, nijhoff@maths.leeds.ac.uk and p.vanderkamp@latrobe.edu.au

Received 10 May 2010, in final form 22 February 2011 Published 23 June 2011 Online at stacks.iop.org/Non/24/2229

Recommended by K M Khanin

Abstract

The discrete-time Toda equation arises as a universal equation for the relevant Hankel determinants associated with one-variable orthogonal polynomials through the mechanism of adjacency, which amounts to the inclusion of shifted weight functions in the orthogonality condition. In this paper we extend this mechanism to a new class of two-variable orthogonal polynomials where the variables are related via an elliptic curve. This leads to a 'higher order analogue of the discrete-time Toda' (HADT) equation for the associated Hankel determinants, together with its Lax pair, which is derived from the relevant recurrence relations for the orthogonal polynomials. In a similar way as the quotient-difference (QD) algorithm is related to the discrete-time Toda equation, a novel quotient–difference (QQD) scheme is presented for the HADT equation. We show that for both the HADT equation and the QQD scheme, there exists well-posed *s*-periodic initial value problems, for almost all $s \in \mathbb{Z}^2$. From the Lax-pairs we furthermore derive invariants for corresponding reductions to dynamical mappings for some explicit examples.

Mathematics Subject Classification: 37K10, 42C05, 39A14, 65Q10

PACS numbers: 02.60.Dc, 02.30.Gp, 02.30.Ik, 05.45.Yv, 05.45.Ra, 45.90.+t, 89.75.Fb

1. Introduction

The discrete-time Toda equation, i.e. the time-discretized version of the usual Toda chain, which is given by the following partial difference equation ($P\Delta E$):

$$\tau_{l-1,m-1}\tau_{l+1,m+1} = \tau_{l+1,m-1}\tau_{l-1,m+1} - \tau_{l,m}^2, \tag{1.1}$$

0951-7715/11/082229+35\$33.00 © 2011 IOP Publishing Ltd & London Mathematical Society Printed in the UK & the USA 2229

plays an important role in many areas of mathematical physics. It is probably the first integrable fully discrete equation that can be found in the literature: it appears, albeit in slightly different form, for the first time in the paper by Frobenius [13] as an identity for certain determinants used in the determination of rational approximations of functions given by power series. The latter are nowadays known as Padé approximants, which, in fact, were introduced by Frobenius 10 years prior to Padé's work (cf [14] for a more modern account). The discrete-time Toda equation re-entered the modern era through the literature of integrable systems [18]. Hirota in [18] introduced the equation as a natural time discretization of the famous Toda chain equation, generalizing the latter to a partial difference equation on the space-time lattice (here the lattice sites are labelled by the discrete independent variables $(l, m) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$). Equation (1.1) exhibits the prominent integrability features, such as the existence of multi-soliton type solutions and the existence of an underlying linear problem (Lax pair). This $P\Delta E$ has also appeared in physics, namely as the nonlinear equation governing the spin-spin correlation functions of the twodimensional Ising model, cf [26, 31]. The connection we investigate and generalize in this paper is the emergence of (1.1) in the theory of formal orthogonal polynomials, where equation (1.1)is connected to the well-known quotient-difference (QD) algorithm of Rutishauser [33], cf [30],

$$e_{l,m+1} + q_{l+1,m+1} = q_{l+1,m} + e_{l+1,m},$$

$$e_{l,m+1}q_{l,m+1} = q_{l+1,m}e_{l,m}.$$
(1.2)

The theory of formal orthogonal polynomials is a subject central to modern numerical analysis, in which orthogonalities are investigated on their general properties regarding the recurrence structure from a formal point of view (i.e. without specifying particular classes of weight functions), with a sight on the construction of numerical algorithms, rather than on the analytic properties (such as the moment problem or the problem of the behaviour of the zeros of the polynomial) arising from the particular properties of the weight functions. In this area of research general constructions such as those of vector Padé approximants, adjacent orthogonal families (where connected sequences of orthogonality functionals are postulated), and associated convergence acceleration algorithms, and factorization methods have been developed, cf e.g. [5, 12]. In this context, the QD algorithm emerges as a prominent method to locate the zeros of analytic functions or to compute convergence factors for asymptotic expansions through formal power series, using a finite-difference scheme and continued fractions, cf [17]. It was pointed out in [30] that both the QD algorithm and certain convergence algorithms [15] are intimately related to integrable discrete systems. In fact, the famous ε -algorithm of Wynn [41], surprisingly turns out to be identical to the lattice potential Korteweg–de Vries (KdV) equation, a well-known exactly integrable $P\Delta E$, thus allowing us to interpret this numerical algorithm as a symplectic dynamical system with a rich solution structure. Similarly, the famous 'missing identity of Frobenius' appearing as the rhombus rule in the Padé tables and governing the stability of the ε -algorithm, cf [42], can be identified as an exactly solvable $P\Delta E$ closely related to the Toda lattice and discretizations of the KdV equation.

In this paper we introduce a novel integrable $P\Delta E$:

$$\sigma_{l+1,m-2}\sigma_{l-1,m+1} \left(\sigma_{l,m+2}\sigma_{l,m-1} - \sigma_{l,m}\sigma_{l,m+1}\right) = \sigma_{l,m-1}\sigma_{l-1,m+2} \left(\sigma_{l-1,m+1}\sigma_{l+2,m-2} - \sigma_{l,m}\sigma_{l+1,m-1}\right) + \sigma_{l,m+1}\sigma_{l+1,m-1} \left(\sigma_{l,m-2}\sigma_{l-1,m+2} - \sigma_{l+1,m-2}\sigma_{l-2,m+2}\right),$$

$$(1.3)$$

which we argue can be regarded as a higher order analogue of the discrete-time Toda equation and therefore name it the HADT equation. It is defined on a stencil of 11 points in the lattice as depicted in figure 1.



Figure 1. The stencil of the HADT equation (1.3).

The derivation of the HADT equation is parallel to the way in which the discrete-time Toda equation emerges in the theory of orthogonal polynomials: in fact, we introduce a family of two-variable orthogonal polynomials restricted by an elliptic curve and exploit the recurrence structure for the relevant Hankel determinants. The general problem of orthogonal polynomials on algebraic curves was discussed in the monograph by Suetin [35, chapter 7] and has also been addressed in the context of the study of formal orthogonalities, cf e.g. [8]. The construction of the associated two-variable orthogonal polynomials on the elliptic curve is pursued in [34], whereas in this paper we will concentrate on the HADT equation (1.3) itself and its reductions. Nevertheless, we will present the derivation from the adjacency structure of elliptic two-variable orthogonal polynomials, as it produces not only the nonlinear equation itself but also its Lax pair. Furthermore, the recurrence structure of the relevant Hankel determinants also yields a novel type of QD formalism, namely the following so-called quotient–quotient-difference (QQD) scheme:

$$u_{l+2,m} + v_{l+1,m} + w_{l+1,m+1} = u_{l,m+3} + v_{l+1,m+1} + w_{l+1,m},$$

$$u_{l,m+3}v_{l,m+1} = v_{l+1,m}u_{l+1,m},$$

$$u_{l,m+3}w_{l,m+1} = w_{l+1,m+1}u_{l+1,m+1},$$

(1.4)

which we believe may have future applications in numerical analysis.

Once we have obtained the HADT equation, the QQD scheme and their Lax pairs, we address the issue of periodic reductions. A periodic solution of a (system of) lattice equation(s) is a solution which satisfies $\mathbf{u}_{l,m} = \mathbf{u}_{l+s_1,m+s_2}$ for some $s_1, s_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$. By imposing periodicity a lattice equation reduces to a system of ordinary difference equations, or, equivalently, a mapping. The so-called staircase method utilizes the Lax pair of the lattice equation to construct integrals for the mapping. The method was introduced in [29], where it was applied to the KdV equation and to a mixed modified KdV–Toda equation, taking $s_1 = s_2$ and $s_1 = s_2 + 1$. In [32] a two-parameter family of periodic reductions was studied, with s_1 and s_2 being co-prime integers. Recently, in [37] a unified and geometric picture for periodic reductions, with nonzero $s = (s_1, s_2) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ has been provided. In [37] it was shown, for any given scalar lattice equation on some arbitrary stencil of lattice points, there exists a well-posed, or nearly wellposed, s-periodic initial value problem, for all nonzero $s \in \mathbb{Z}^2$. Therefore, the trace of the monodromy matrix provides integrals for any periodic reduction of any integrable scalar lattice equation, and it is expected that the same is true for systems of lattice equations, see [37, 38]. In this paper we generalize the approach of [37] and develop a systematic method to construct well-posed periodic initial value problems for systems of lattice equations. This method is applied to the QQD scheme as well as to an intermediate system of P Δ Es, cf equation (3.19).

On general principles, one expects periodic reductions of integrable lattice equations to lead to integrable maps in a precise sense, namely to complete integrability in the sense of Liouville–Arnold [9, 39]. This requires, in addition to the existence of a sufficient number of integrals, some global properties as well the existence of a symplectic structure which is

preserved by the discrete dynamics, and with respect to which the integrals are in involution. The latter are issues which we are not addressing in this paper, where we have developed the basic structures and classified the consistent reductions from the lattice equation together with the explicit form of the integrals.

Furthermore, there is the problem of counting the number of independent integrals to ensure that in principle a 1–1 map to the relevant number of degrees of freedoms exist for the mappings concerned. In fact, typically some reduced variables, i.e. suitable combinations of the variables on the vertices, need to be introduced, leading to a dimensional reduction of the mappings. This issue is addressed in section 5. For the reductions we obtained from the HADT equation, as well as those from the QQD scheme, we are able to find a sufficient number of independent integrals, that is, equal to at least half the dimensionality (i.e. number of components) of the mapping. For the reductions obtained from the intermediate system (3.19) this, however, is not the case. In [38] a systematic method was presented, which exploits symmetries of the (system of) lattice equation(s) to reduce the dimension of the mappings. For all cases that were considered in [38], the staircase method provides a sufficient number of integrals for the dimensionally reduced mappings to be in principle completely integrable. We arrive at a similar conclusion, however, in certain cases the staircase method provides a product of 2-integrals JJ', from which a missing integral can be obtained⁴.

2. The discrete-time Toda equation and the QD algorithm from orthogonal polynomials

We present here the derivation of the bilinear discrete-time Toda equation using formal orthogonal polynomials. Recurrence relations for adjacent one-variable orthogonal polynomials provide a Lax pair for this equation, as well as for the associated QD algorithm. The results presented here are known, see for example [30]; however, they outline the main idea which we employ in the setting of two-variable elliptic orthogonal polynomials in the next section.

Let $P_n = P_n(x)$ be a family of polynomials of a variable x orthogonal with respect to a weight function w(x) on a curve Γ in the complex plane. The weight function defines a linear functional \mathcal{L} with respect to which we can define the moments c_n as

$$c_n = \mathcal{L}(e_n) = \int_{\Gamma} x^n w(x) \,\mathrm{d}x \qquad i = 0, 1, \dots,$$
(2.1)

where e_n are the monomials. The P_n s, which are assumed to be monic, satisfy a three-term recurrence relation of the form

$$x P_n = P_{n+1} + S_n P_n + R_n P_{n-1}$$
(2.2)

(where S_n and R_n are finite) and in order to ensure their existence we assume that the corresponding Hankel determinants are nonzero.

We introduce a family of adjacent orthogonal polynomials (which are orthogonal with respect to a shifted weight $x^m w(x)$) [3]:

$$P_{n}^{(m)}(x) \equiv \frac{1}{\Delta_{n-1}^{(m)}} \begin{vmatrix} c_{m} & \dots & c_{n+m} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ c_{n+m-1} & & c_{2n+m-1} \\ 1 & \dots & x^{n} \end{vmatrix},$$
(2.3a)

⁴ Recall, a function J is an k-integral, or k-symmetry, of a mapping if it is an integral, or symmetry, of the kth power of that mapping [16]. If one has one k-integral, then one can construct k of them, or, even better, k integrals. For example, it is easy to see that J'' = J implies that both JJ' and J + J' are integrals.

with the corresponding Hankel determinant:

$$\Delta_{n}^{(m)}(x) \equiv \begin{vmatrix} c_{m} & \dots & c_{n+m} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ \vdots & & & \vdots \\ c_{n+m} & \dots & c_{2n+m} \end{vmatrix},$$
(2.3b)

and the two row/column Sylvester identity⁵ [4, 27],



Applying two different forms of the Sylvester identity to the determinant for $P_n^{(m)}$ leads to the derivation of two $x P_n^{(m)}$ recurrence relations

$$P_{n+1}^{(m)} = x P_n^{(m+1)} - V_n^{(m)} P_n^{(m)},$$
(2.5*a*)

$$P_{n+1}^{(m)} = x P_n^{(m+2)} - W_n^{(m)} P_n^{(m+1)},$$
(2.5b)

with

$$V_n^{(m)} = \frac{\Delta_n^{(m+1)} \Delta_{n-1}^{(m)}}{\Delta_{n-1}^{(m+1)} \Delta_n^{(m)}}, \qquad W_n^{(m)} = \frac{\Delta_n^{(m+1)} \Delta_{n-1}^{(m+1)}}{\Delta_n^{(m)} \Delta_{n-1}^{(m+2)}}.$$
(2.6)

The combination of these relations leads to the monic recurrence relation of the form (2.2),

$$x P_n^{(m)} = P_{n+1}^{(m)} + (V_n^{(m)} + V_{n-1}^{(m+1)} - W_n^{(m)}) P_n^{(m)} + (V_{n-1}^{(m+1)} - W_{n-1}^{(m)}) V_{n-1}^{(m)} P_{n-1}^{(m)}.$$

From this approach the coefficients S_n and R_n can be further simplified (in terms of the Hankel determinants):

$$S_n = \frac{\Delta_n^{(m+1)} \Delta_{n-1}^{(m)}}{\Delta_n^{(m)} \Delta_{n-1}^{(m+1)}} + \frac{\Delta_{n-2}^{(m+1)} \Delta_n^{(m)}}{\Delta_{n-1}^{(m+1)} \Delta_{n-1}^{(m)}},$$
(2.7*a*)

$$R_n = \frac{\Delta_n^{(m)} \Delta_{n-2}^{(m)}}{\Delta_{n-1}^{(m)} \Delta_{n-1}^{(m)}},$$
(2.7b)

where we have suppressed the *m*-dependence in the symbols R_n and S_n . We achieve this simplification by making use of a bilinear relation that exists between the Hankel determinants $\Delta_n^{(m)}$. This bilinear relation is found by applying the Sylvester identity to $\Delta_n^{(m)}$:

1.1

$$\Delta_{n}^{(m)} \Rightarrow \Delta_{n}^{(m)} \Delta_{n-2}^{(m+2)} = \Delta_{n-1}^{(m+2)} \Delta_{n-1}^{(m)} - \Delta_{n-1}^{(m+1)} \Delta_{n-1}^{(m+1)}.$$
(2.8)

⁵ This identity has many different names including the Jacobi identity, Lewis Carroll's identity and the window-pane identity; however, we will just refer to it as the Sylvester identity.

We will refer to equation (2.8) as the discrete-time Toda equation. Indeed, substituting $\Delta_n^{(m)} = \tau_{-2n-m-1,m-1}$ and taking l = -2n - m as new independent variable yields the more standard form of this equation (1.1). The continuum limit leading to the usual Toda chain is most easily seen starting from equation (1.1), multiplying with 4pq and applying a point transformation $\tau_{l,m} \mapsto \tau_{l,m} \alpha^{l^2} \beta^{lm}$ with

$$\alpha = \sqrt{\frac{p^2 - q^2}{4pq}}, \qquad \beta = \sqrt{\frac{p - q}{p + q}}$$

leads to

$$(p-q)^{2}\tau_{l-1,m-1}\tau_{l+1,m+1} - (p+q)^{2}\tau_{l-1,m+1}\tau_{l+1,m-1} + 4pq\tau_{l,m}^{2} = 0, \qquad (2.9)$$

in which p, q are lattice parameters (associated with shifts on the lattice in *l*- and *m* directions, respectively). Performing a changes of variables, $(l, m) \mapsto (n = l + m, m)$ and taking a limit $l \to \infty$, $m \to \infty$, *n* fixed, $q - p = \epsilon \to 0$, $\epsilon m \to t$, Taylor expansion yields $\tau_{l,m} = \tau_n(t_0 + \epsilon m) \Rightarrow \tau_{l,m+1} = \tau_{n+1} + \epsilon \dot{\tau}_{n+1} + \cdots$ and we obtain the semi-discrete bilinear equation:

$$4p^2\left(\tau_n\ddot{\tau}_n-\dot{\tau}_n^2\right)=\tau_{n+2}\tau_{n-2},$$

which is related to the usual Toda chain equation by setting $\phi_n = \log(\tau_n/\tau_{n-2})$.

Thus, the shadows of integrability already appear in the underlying structure of the standard theory of orthogonal polynomials.

2.1. A Lax pair for the QD algorithm and the discrete-time Toda equation

We now view equation (2.8) as an integrable lattice equation, as opposed to an identity for Hankel determinants (2.3b). To this end we write $\Delta_n^{(m)} = \tau_{n,m}$, and similarly $V_n^{(m)} = v_{n,m}$, $W_n^{(m)} = w_{n,m}$. The variable x will play the role of spectral parameter and will be denoted $x = \lambda$.

Relations (2.5*a*) and (2.5*b*) constitute a Lax pair for equation (2.8). Working with the fields v, w we first derive a related QD system. Let $\Psi_{n,m} = (P_n^{(m)}, P_n^{(m+1)})$, then using the recurrence relations we derive

$$\Psi_{n+1,m} = L_{n,m} \Psi_{n,m} \qquad \text{and} \qquad \Psi_{n,m+1} = M_{n,m} \Psi_{n,m},$$

with

$$L_{n,m} = \begin{pmatrix} -v_{n,m} & \lambda \\ -v_{n,m} & \lambda + w_{n,m} - v_{n,m+1} \end{pmatrix}, \qquad (2.10a)$$

$$M_{n,m} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1\\ -\lambda^{-1} v_{n,m} & 1 + \lambda^{-1} w_{n,m} \end{pmatrix}.$$
 (2.10b)

The compatibility of the two linear systems is then equivalent to the discrete Lax equation:

$$0 = L_{n,m+1}M_{n,m} - M_{n+1,m}L_{n,m}$$

= $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ -\lambda^{-1}v_{n,m}E & E + \lambda^{-1}(w_{n,m}(v_{n+1,m} + E) - v_{n,m+1}w_{n+1,m}) \end{pmatrix}$,

where

 $E = v_{n,m+2} - v_{n+1,m} + w_{n+1,m} - w_{n,m+1}.$

Thus L, M provide a Lax pair for the QD scheme

$$v_{n,m+2} + w_{n+1,m} = v_{n+1,m} + w_{n,m+1}, \qquad (2.11a)$$

$$w_{n,m}v_{n+1,m} = v_{n,m+1}w_{n+1,m}.$$
(2.11b)

This scheme is related to the (more standard) QD algorithm (1.2), by $q_{n,m} = v_{n,m}$, $e_{n,m} = v_{n,m+1} - w_{n,m}$.

Upon substitution of (2.6) into (2.11a) this equation is a consequence of (2.8), whereas substitution of (2.6) into (2.11b) turns into an identity. To find a *good* Lax pair for equation (2.8), one substitutes equations (2.6) into the above Lax matrices, and one uses (2.8) to simplify

$$e_{n,m} = v_{n,m+1} - w_{n,m} = \frac{\tau_{n-1,m+1}\tau_{n+1,m}}{\tau_{n-1,m+1}\tau_{n,m}}$$

Using the same simplification, equation (2.11a) can be written as

$$0 = e_{n,m+1} + w_{n+1,m} - v_{n+1,m}$$

= $\frac{\tau_{n+1,m+1}}{\tau_{n,m+2}\tau_{n,m+1}\tau_{n+1,m}} \left(\tau_{n+1,m}\tau_{n-1,m+2} - \tau_{n,m+2}\tau_{n,m} + (\tau_{n,m+1})^2\right),$

where one recognizes the discrete-time Toda equation (2.8).

3. The HADT equation and a QQD scheme from elliptic orthogonal polynomials

In this section we derive the HADT equation. We follow a similar route as in the previous section, except that here we consider two-variable orthogonal polynomials, where the variables are restricted by the condition that they form the coordinates of an elliptic curve. The recurrence relations for these elliptic orthogonal polynomials yield both a Lax pair and the QQD scheme.

3.1. Two-variable elliptic orthogonal polynomials

As a starting point for our construction we introduce the sequence of elementary monomials [34], associated with a class of two-variable orthogonal polynomials. We consider a sequence of monomials where the x and y are given different weight, namely $x \sim 2$ and $y \sim 3$:

$$e_0 = 1$$
, $e_2 = x$, $e_3 = y$, $e_4 = x^2$, $e_5 = xy$, $e_6 = x^3$, \cdots

or, in general:

$$e_0(x, y) = 1$$
, $e_{2k}(x, y) = x^k$, $e_{2k+1}(x, y) = x^{k-1}y$, $k = 1, 2, ...$

In comparison with the polynomials of Krall and Scheffer [21], their two-variable orthogonal polynomials consist of $x \sim 1$ and $y \sim 1$ of equal weight. The reason for choosing different weights is that the variables x and y are related through a Weierstrass elliptic curve. We use this sequence as our basis for the expansion of a new class of two-variable orthogonal polynomials taking the form

$$P_k(x, y) = \sum_{j=0}^k p_j^{(k)} e_j(x, y),$$
(3.1)

which are *monic* if the leading coefficient $p_k^{(k)} = 1$.

In the spirit of the formal approach to orthogonal polynomials, cf e.g. [5, 7], we assume that a bilinear form \langle , \rangle exists and can be derived from a linear functional \mathcal{L} and consequently we can define the associated *moments* by

$$c_k = \mathcal{L}(e_k). \tag{3.2}$$

The assumption of the existence of an inner product \langle , \rangle on the space \mathcal{V} spanned by the monomials e_k , is such that

$$\langle xP, Q \rangle = \langle P, xQ \rangle,$$

for any two elements $P, Q \in \mathcal{V}$.

Under the assumption of orthogonality and using the standard Gram–Schmidt orthogonalization (through the use of Cramer's rule), leads to the following expression for the adjacent elliptic orthogonal polynomials $l \neq 0$:

$$P_{k}^{(l)}(x, y) \equiv \begin{vmatrix} \langle e_{l}, e_{0} \rangle & \langle e_{l}, e_{2} \rangle & \cdots & \langle e_{l}, e_{k} \rangle \\ \langle e_{l+1}, e_{0} \rangle & \langle e_{l+1}, e_{2} \rangle & \cdots & \langle e_{l+1}, e_{k} \rangle \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ \langle e_{l+k-2}, e_{0} \rangle & \langle e_{l+k-2}, e_{2} \rangle & \cdots & \langle e_{l+k-2}, e_{k} \rangle \\ e_{0} & e_{2} & \cdots & e_{k} \end{vmatrix} / \Delta_{k-1}^{(l)},$$
(3.3)

together with the corresponding Hankel determinant $l \neq 0$:

$$\Delta_{k}^{(l)} = \begin{vmatrix} \langle e_{l}, e_{0} \rangle & \langle e_{l}, e_{2} \rangle & \cdots & \cdots & \langle e_{l}, e_{k} \rangle \\ \langle e_{l+1}, e_{0} \rangle & \langle e_{l+1}, e_{2} \rangle & \cdots & \cdots & \langle e_{l+1}, e_{k} \rangle \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & & & \vdots \\ \langle e_{l+k-1}, e_{0} \rangle & \langle e_{l+k-1}, e_{2} \rangle & \cdots & \cdots & \langle e_{l+k-1}, e_{k} \rangle \end{vmatrix},$$
(3.4)

where for l = 0:

$$P_{k}^{(0)}(x, y) \equiv \begin{vmatrix} \langle e_{0}, e_{0} \rangle & \langle e_{0}, e_{2} \rangle & \cdots & \cdots & \langle e_{0}, e_{k} \rangle \\ \langle e_{2}, e_{0} \rangle & \langle e_{2}, e_{2} \rangle & \cdots & \cdots & \langle e_{2}, e_{k} \rangle \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ \langle e_{k-1}, e_{0} \rangle & \langle e_{k-1}, e_{2} \rangle & \cdots & \cdots & \langle e_{k-1}, e_{k} \rangle \\ e_{0} & e_{2} & \cdots & \cdots & e_{k} \end{vmatrix} / \Delta_{k-1}^{(0)},$$
(3.5)

with

$$\Delta_{k}^{(0)} = \begin{vmatrix} \langle e_{0}, e_{0} \rangle & \langle e_{0}, e_{2} \rangle & \cdots & \cdots & \langle e_{0}, e_{k} \rangle \\ \langle e_{2}, e_{0} \rangle & \langle e_{2}, e_{2} \rangle & \cdots & \cdots & \langle e_{2}, e_{k} \rangle \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ \langle e_{k}, e_{0} \rangle & \langle e_{k}, e_{2} \rangle & \cdots & \cdots & \langle e_{k}, e_{k} \rangle \end{vmatrix},$$
(3.6)

In addition to the polynomials (3.3), we also need to introduce the polynomials:

$$Q_{k}^{(l)}(x, y) \equiv \begin{vmatrix} \langle e_{l}, e_{0} \rangle & \langle e_{l}, e_{2} \rangle & \cdots & \langle e_{l}, e_{k} \rangle \\ \langle e_{l+2}, e_{0} \rangle & \langle e_{l+2}, e_{2} \rangle & \cdots & \langle e_{l+2}, e_{k} \rangle \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ \langle e_{l+k-1}, e_{0} \rangle & \langle e_{l+k-1}, e_{2} \rangle & \cdots & \langle e_{l+k-1}, e_{k} \rangle \\ e_{0} & e_{2} & \cdots & e_{k} \end{vmatrix} / \Theta_{k-1}^{(l)},$$
(3.7)

together with its corresponding Hankel determinant:

$$\Theta_{k}^{(l)} = \begin{vmatrix} \langle e_{l}, e_{0} \rangle & \langle e_{l}, e_{2} \rangle & \cdots & \cdots & \langle e_{l}, e_{k} \rangle \\ \langle e_{l+2}, e_{0} \rangle & \langle e_{l+2}, e_{2} \rangle & \cdots & \cdots & \langle e_{l+2}, e_{k} \rangle \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ \langle e_{l+k}, e_{0} \rangle & \langle e_{l+k}, e_{2} \rangle & \cdots & \cdots & \langle e_{l+k}, e_{k} \rangle \end{vmatrix},$$
(3.8)

noting that

$$Q_k^{(0)} = P_k^{(0)}, \qquad \Theta_k^{(0)} = \Delta_k^{(0)}.$$

Remark. We note that for $l \neq 0$, 1 the polynomials $P_k^{(l)}$ do *not* form an orthogonal family. In fact, from the determinantal definition (3.3) we immediately observe that

$$\langle e_l, P_k^{(l)} \rangle = \langle e_{l+1}, P_k^{(l)} \rangle = \dots = \langle e_{l+k-2}, P_k^{(l)} \rangle = 0, \qquad \langle e_{l+k-1}, P_k^{(l)} \rangle = \frac{\Delta_k^{(l)}}{\Delta_{k-1}^{(l)}},$$

whereas

$$\langle e_{l-1}, P_k^{(l)} \rangle = (-1)^{k-1} \frac{\Delta_k^{(l-1)}}{\Delta_{k-1}^{(l)}}$$

We now proceed using determinantal identities of Sylvester type (appendix A) to derive relations between the polynomials $P_k^{(l)}$ and the Hankel determinants.

3.2. Recurrence relations in $P_k^{(l)}$ and $Q_k^{(l)}$

Using a 3 row/column Sylvester identity we find it is possible to find x-recurrence relations and linear relations in $P_k^{(l)}$ and $Q_k^{(l)}$. To achieve the former it is necessary to fix the columns, so that $e_0 = 1$ and $e_3 = y$ are removed from the determinant and the position of the row removal is dependent on restricting the introduction of new objects. Hence we apply the following cutting of three rows and columns (A.6) to the determinant for $P_k^{(l)}$:



(where the small red line indicates a space between the first column and the third). We are led to the recurrence relation

$$P_{k}^{(l)} = x P_{k-2}^{(l+3)} - V_{k-2}^{(l)} P_{k-1}^{(l)} + W_{k-2}^{(l)} P_{k-1}^{(l+1)}, \qquad l \neq 0, 1,$$
(3.9)

where

$$V_k^{(l)} = \frac{\Delta_k^{(l)} \Delta_k^{(l+3)}}{\Delta_{k+1}^{(l)} \Delta_{k-1}^{(l+3)}}, \qquad W_k^{(l)} = \frac{\Delta_k^{(l+1)} \Delta_k^{(l+2)}}{\Delta_{k+1}^{(l)} \Delta_{k-1}^{(l+3)}}.$$
(3.10)

For l = 0 we have

$$P_{k}^{(0)} = x P_{k-2}^{(4)} - \frac{\Delta_{k-2}^{(0)} \Delta_{k-2}^{(4)}}{\Delta_{k-1}^{(0)} \Delta_{k-3}^{(4)}} P_{k-1}^{(0)} + \frac{\Delta_{k-2}^{(2)} \Theta_{k-2}^{(2)}}{\Delta_{k-1}^{(0)} \Delta_{k-3}^{(4)}} P_{k-1}^{(2)},$$
(3.11)

whilst obviously, since $P_k^{(1)}$ is not defined, there is no relation for l = 1. By making use of *intermediate* determinant expressions (appendix B) we can derive additional relations in terms of $P_k^{(l)}$ and $Q_k^{(l)}$. Thus in addition to the recurrence relation (3.9) we have also derived the following relation in terms of $P_k^{(l)}$ (equation (B.1)):

$$P_k^{(l)} = P_k^{(l+1)} + U_{k-1}^{(l)} P_{k-1}^{(l+1)},$$
(3.12)

with

$$U_k^{(l)} = \frac{\Delta_{k+1}^{(l)} \Delta_{k-1}^{(l+1)}}{\Delta_k^{(l)} \Delta_k^{(l+1)}}.$$
(3.13)

Similarly, we derived recurrence formulae for the set $\{P_k^{(l)}, Q_k^{(l)}\}$ (appendix B.3, equations (B.9), (B.11) and (B.15), respectively),

$$P_{k}^{(l)} = x Q_{k-2}^{(l+2)} - \frac{\Delta_{k-2}^{(l)} \Theta_{k-2}^{(l+2)}}{\Delta_{k-1}^{(l)} \Theta_{k-3}^{(l+2)}} P_{k-1}^{(l)} + \frac{\Theta_{k-2}^{(l)} \Delta_{k-2}^{(l+2)}}{\Delta_{k-1}^{(l)} \Theta_{k-3}^{(l+2)}} Q_{k-1}^{(l)}, \qquad l \neq 0, 1$$
(3.14)

$$Q_{k}^{(l)} = P_{k}^{(l+1)} + \frac{\Delta_{k}^{(l)} \Delta_{k-2}^{(l+2)}}{\Theta_{k-1}^{(l)} \Delta_{k-1}^{(l+1)}} P_{k-1}^{(l+2)},$$
(3.15)

$$Q_{k}^{(l)} = P_{k}^{(l)} - \frac{\Delta_{k}^{(l)}\Theta_{k-1}^{(l)}}{\Delta_{k-1}^{(l)}\Theta_{k-1}^{(l)}}Q_{k-1}^{(l)}.$$
(3.16)

3.3. Bilinear Hankel identities

In appendix B.2 we construct a pair of bilinear relations: a four-term bilinear relation (derived by applying a 3 row/column Sylvester identity to the determinant $\Delta_k^{(l)}$), and a three-term bilinear relation (resulting from the combination of other Hankel identities):

$$\Rightarrow \Delta_{k}^{(l)} \Delta_{k-3}^{(l+4)} = \Delta_{k-1}^{(l)} \Delta_{k-2}^{(l+4)} - \Theta_{k-1}^{(l)} \Delta_{k-2}^{(l+3)} + \Delta_{k-1}^{(l+1)} \Theta_{k-2}^{(l+2)}, \qquad (3.17a)$$

$$\Delta_k^{(l)} \Delta_{k-1}^{(l+2)} = \Theta_k^{(l)} \Delta_{k-1}^{(l+1)} - \Theta_{k-1}^{(l)} \Delta_k^{(l+1)}.$$
(3.17b)

3.4. The HADT equation

System (3.17) can be rearranged to eliminate the $\Theta_k^{(l)}$. Thus, we have

$$\frac{\Delta_{k}^{(l)}\Delta_{k-3}^{(l+4)}}{\Delta_{k-1}^{(l+1)}\Delta_{k-2}^{(l+2)}} - \frac{\Delta_{k-1}^{(l)}\Delta_{k-2}^{(l+4)}}{\Delta_{k-1}^{(l+1)}\Delta_{k-2}^{(l+3)}} = \frac{\Theta_{k-2}^{(l+2)}}{\Delta_{k-2}^{(l+3)}} - \frac{\Theta_{k-1}^{(l)}}{\Delta_{k-1}^{(l+1)}},$$
$$\frac{\Delta_{k}^{(l)}\Delta_{k-1}^{(l+2)}}{\Delta_{k}^{(l+1)}\Delta_{k-1}^{(l+1)}} = \frac{\Theta_{k}^{(l)}}{\Delta_{k}^{(l+1)}} - \frac{\Theta_{k-1}^{(l)}}{\Delta_{k-1}^{(l+1)}},$$

2238



Figure 2. The stencils of the discrete-time Toda equation (2.8) and the HADT equation (3.18).

which can be expressed in a simpler way using

$$X_k^{(l)} = \Gamma_k^{(l)} - \Gamma_{k-1}^{(l)},$$

$$Y_k^{(l)} = \Gamma_{k-2}^{(l+2)} - \Gamma_{k-1}^{(l)},$$

where $\Gamma_k^{(l)} = \Theta_k^{(l)} / \Delta_k^{(l+1)}$. From these two expressions we have

$$\begin{aligned} Y_{k+3}^{(l)} + X_{k+2}^{(l)} + X_{k+1}^{(l)} &= \Gamma_{k+1}^{(l+2)} - \Gamma_{k}^{(l)} \\ X_{k+1}^{(l+2)} + Y_{k+2}^{(l)} + X_{k+1}^{(l)} &= \Gamma_{k+1}^{(l+2)} - \Gamma_{k}^{(l)} \end{aligned}$$

and by rearranging, we find a quadrilinear relation in terms of $\Delta_k^{(l)}$ on an 11-point stencil, the HADT equation:

$$\Delta_{k+1}^{(l)} \left(\Delta_k^{(l+4)} \Delta_k^{(l+1)} \Delta_{k-1}^{(l+3)} - \Delta_k^{(l+2)} \Delta_k^{(l+3)} \Delta_{k-1}^{(l+3)} + \Delta_{k-2}^{(l+4)} \Delta_k^{(l+3)} \Delta_{k+1}^{(l+1)} \right) = \Delta_{k-1}^{(l+4)} \left(\Delta_{k+2}^{(l)} \Delta_k^{(l+3)} \Delta_{k-1}^{(l+3)} - \Delta_k^{(l+2)} \Delta_k^{(l+1)} \Delta_{k+1}^{(l+1)} + \Delta_k^{(l)} \Delta_k^{(l+3)} \Delta_{k+1}^{(l+1)} \right).$$
(3.18)

The HADT equation bears its name as a higher order analogue of the discrete-time Toda equation, due to the similarities between how both equations arise in the theory of formal orthogonal polynomials. We expect the HADT equation to also be integrable and derive a Lax pair for it in the next section. Also note the similarity between the stencils of the two equations (2.8) and (3.18), as displayed in figure 2.

It would be interesting to know how to enter lattice parameters (i.e. parameters associated with the grid steps) into the HADT equation, as we did for the discrete-time Toda equation, see equation (2.9), and then to study its continuum limit. However, there is a lot of freedom here to enter lattice parameters through point transformations, and this should be properly investigated. We leave that issue to a future work.

Next we follow a similar approach as in section 2.1 and derive Lax pairs for the several Hankel identities viewed as integrable systems, using the recurrence relations for the two-variable elliptic orthogonal polynomials. As before the fields will carry two sub-indices; we denote $x = \lambda$, $\Delta_l^{(m)} = \sigma_{l,m}$, $\Theta_l^{(m)} = \rho_{l,m}$, $U_k^{(l)} = u_{k,l}$, etc. By not evaluating the coefficients in the recurrences (3.12) and (3.9), we will find a novel QQD scheme.

3.5. A Lax pair for the system of lattice equations (3.17)

We derive a Lax pair for the system of equations (3.17) which in terms of σ , ρ reads

$$\sigma_{l+1,m-2}\sigma_{l-2,m+2} + \rho_{l,m-2}\sigma_{l-1,m+1} = \sigma_{l,m-2}\sigma_{l-1,m+2} + \sigma_{l,m-1}\rho_{l-1,m}, \quad (3.19a)$$

$$\sigma_{l,m-1}\sigma_{l-1,m+1} + \rho_{l-1,m-1}\sigma_{l,m} = \rho_{l,m-1}\sigma_{l-1,m}.$$
(3.19b)

Taking $\Psi_{l,m} = (Q_l^{(m+1)}, P_{l+1}^{(m+1)}, Q_{l+1}^{(m)}, P_{l+2}^{(m)})$ we derive $\Psi_{l+1,m} = L_{l,m}\Psi_{l,m}$, and $\Psi_{l+1,m} = M_{l,m}\Psi_{l,m}$, with

and

$$M_{l,m} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{-\rho_{l,m+2}\sigma_{l,m+1}\rho_{l-1,m+1}}{\rho_{l-1,m+2}\sigma_{l,m+1}\sigma_{l,m+3}} & \frac{-\rho_{l,m+2}\rho_{l,m+1}\rho_{l,m}}{\rho_{l-1,m+2}\sigma_{l+1,m}\sigma_{l,m+3}} & \frac{\rho_{l,m+2}\rho_{l,m+1}\rho_{l,m}}{\rho_{l-1,m+2}\sigma_{l+1,m}\sigma_{l,m+3}} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$M_{l,m} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{-\sigma_{l+1,m+1}\rho_{l-1,m+1}}{\sigma_{l,m+1}\rho_{l-1,m+1}} & \frac{1}{\sigma_{l+1,m}\sigma_{l,m+2}} & \frac{-\sigma_{l+1,m+1}\rho_{l,m}}{\sigma_{l+1,m}\sigma_{l,m+2}} & 0 \\ \frac{-\sigma_{l+1,m+1}\rho_{l-1,m+1}}{\sigma_{l,m+1}\rho_{l,m+1}} & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{-\sigma_{l+2,m}\sigma_{l,m+1}}{\sigma_{l+1,m}\sigma_{l+1,m+1}} & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Here we have used equations (3.19a) and (3.19b) to reduce the number of terms in the entries of the Lax matrices as much as possible. The compatibility condition $L_{l,m+1}M_{l,m} - M_{l+1,m}L_{l,m} = 0$ is equivalent to system (3.19). However, to verify that the left-hand side vanishes modulo the system, some work has to be done. The equations do not factor out as nicely as in section 2.1.

3.6. A Lax pair for the HADT equation, and the QQD scheme

We first derive a Lax pair for the following QQD scheme, satisfied by the coefficients $(U_l^{(m)} = u_{l,m}, \text{ etc})$ in the recurrence equations (3.9) and (3.12):

$$u_{l+2,m} + v_{l+1,m} + w_{l+1,m+1} = u_{l,m+3} + v_{l+1,m+1} + w_{l+1,m},$$
(3.20*a*)

$$u_{l,m+3}v_{l,m+1} = v_{l+1,m}u_{l+1,m}, (3.20b)$$

$$u_{l,m+2}w_{l,m} = w_{l+1,m}u_{l+1,m}.$$
(3.20c)



Figure 3. The stencil of $L_{l,m}$.

Let $\Psi_{l,m} = (P_l^{(m+3)}, P_{l+1}^{(m+2)}, P_{l+2}^{(m+1)}, P_{l+3}^{(m)})$. We use (3.9) and (3.12) to find $\Psi_{l+1,m} = L_{l,m}\Psi_{l,m}$, and $\Psi_{l,m+1} = M_{l,m}\Psi_{l,m}$, where L and M are given

and

Here we have used the scheme (3.20) to simplify the λ^{-1} -part of $M_{l,m}$. The compatibility of these linear systems is equivalent to the QQD scheme (3.20). Note, by substituting expressions (3.13), (3.10) for u, v, w in terms of σ into the QQD scheme, the first equation is equivalent to the HADT equation, whereas the later two are satisfied identically. Therefore, after the same substitution, the matrices L, M provide a *good* Lax pair for the HADT equation (3.18). The stencils of the Lax matrices $L(\Delta), M(\Delta)$ are depicted in figures 3 and 4.

4. Periodic reduction

In [37] a method was given to obtain initial value problems for scalar equations on arbitrary stencils. The question was raised whether a similar construction can be done for systems, and one example was given (the QD algorithm) where this is the case indeed. Here we will present a systematic approach towards constructing initial value problems for systems of partial difference equations.



Figure 5. The 11-point stencil of the HADT equation, its convex hull, and two regions in the plane.

Using the method of [37] it is easily shown that the dimension of the *s*-periodic initial value problem for the HADT equation is given by the piecewise linear function

$$4 \max\{|s_1 + s_2|, |s_1|\}. \tag{4.1}$$

We will show that the *s*-periodic straight band initial value problems for the intermediate system (3.19) as well as those for the QQD scheme are of the same dimension as the initial value problems for the HADT equation, namely (4.1).

Although we do give a method to construct s-periodic initial value problems for systems, the question remains whether this can be done algorithmically. As we will see, one cannot easily determine the dimensionality of the reductions, nor which regions for s should be distinguished, by simply looking at the stencils on which the equations of the system are defined.

4.1. Initial value problems for the HADT equation

To pose initial value problems for equation (1.3) we use the method developed in [37]. By the *S*-polygon of the equation we mean the boundary of the convex hull of the *stencil S* of the equation (the dotted parallelogram in figure 5). The *S*-directions are the directions in the *S*-polygon of the equation: (1, 0) and (1, -2). Therefore, we distinguish two regions in the plane

$$R^{1} = \{ s \in \mathbb{Z}^{2} : a \ge 0, b > 0, \epsilon = 1 \text{ or } b > 2a, \epsilon = -1 \},\$$

$$R^{2} = \{ s \in \mathbb{Z}^{2} : 0 < b < 2a, \epsilon = -1 \},\$$

as in figure 5. If $s \in R^1$ or $s \in R^2$, then performing an *s*-periodic reduction yields a well-posed initial value problem, i.e. the *s*-periodic solution is obtained by iteration of a single valued mapping. If on the other hand *s* is on a boundary between R^1 and R^2 one needs to impose periodicity on the solution, and then one obtains a multi-valued mapping, or correspondence.



Figure 6. (2,-1)-periodic initial values.

To each of the regions R^i we associate a vector d^i which is a difference between the two points of *S*, where a line with direction $s \in R^i$ intersects the *S*-polygon in a single point. For the HADT equation we have

$$d^{1} = (0, 4), \qquad d^{2} = (4, -4).$$
 (4.2)

Hence the dimension of the s-periodic initial value problem is, cf [37, equation 8],

$$\max_{i=1,2} \left\{ \left| \operatorname{Det} \begin{pmatrix} s \\ d^i \end{pmatrix} \right| \right\} = 4 \max\{ |s_1 + s_2|, |s_1| \}.$$

We give two examples before we present the general *s*-periodic initial value problem in terms of *s*-reduced variables.

4.1.1. (2,-1)-reduction of the HADT equation. We specify initial values between two parallel lines that squeeze the stencil as in figure 6. Clearly, given the 8 values x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_8 at the black dots one is able to determine the value x_9 at the white dots on the fat-dotted line, using the HADT equation. Thus we obtain an eight-dimensional mapping:

$$x_{i} \mapsto x_{i+1}, \qquad 1 \le i < 8,$$

$$x_{8} \mapsto \frac{x_{1}x_{4}x_{7}x_{8}}{x_{2}x_{3}x_{6}} + \frac{x_{5}x_{7}}{x_{3}} + \frac{x_{3}x_{8}}{x_{2}} - \frac{x_{4}x_{5}x_{8}}{x_{2}x_{6}} - \frac{x_{4}x_{7}^{2}}{x_{3}x_{6}}.$$

(4.3)

We can calculate integrals for this mapping by constructing a so-called monodromy matrix, which is a product of Lax matrices along a staircase over a one period long distance. Taking the point at the bottom left corner to be the origin (l, m) = (0, 0), we define the *monodromy matrix* to be the inversely ordered product of Lax matrices along a staircase from (l, m) = (1, 0) to (l, m) = (3, -1),

$$\mathcal{L} = M_{3,-1}^{-1} L_{2,0} L_{1,0}$$

Using the stencils of the Lax matrices, see figures 3 and 4, the reader can verify that the matrices in \mathcal{L} depend on initial values only. The characteristic polynomial of \mathcal{L} is

$$Det(\mu I - \mathcal{L}) = \mu^2((\mu - \lambda)^2 + 1) + \mu I_1(\mu^2 - \lambda \mu - \lambda) - \lambda(I_2\mu^2 + I_3\mu + 1),$$

where

$$I_{1} = \frac{x_{3}x_{6}}{x_{2}x_{7}} + \frac{x_{2}x_{7}}{x_{6}x_{3}} - \frac{x_{1}x_{8}}{x_{6}x_{3}} + \frac{x_{1}x_{4}}{x_{2}x_{3}} + \frac{x_{8}x_{5}}{x_{6}x_{7}} - \frac{x_{5}x_{4}}{x_{2}x_{7}},$$

$$I_{2} = \left(\frac{x_{5}^{2}}{x_{4}x_{6}} + \frac{x_{3}^{2}}{x_{2}x_{4}} + \frac{x_{1}x_{7}}{x_{2}x_{6}} - \frac{x_{3}x_{5}}{x_{2}x_{6}}\right) \left(\frac{x_{6}^{2}}{x_{5}x_{7}} + \frac{x_{4}^{2}}{x_{3}x_{5}} + \frac{x_{2}x_{8}}{x_{3}x_{7}} - \frac{x_{4}x_{6}}{x_{3}x_{7}}\right)$$

$$I_{3} = \frac{x_{2}x_{5}}{x_{3}x_{4}} + \frac{x_{6}x_{3}}{x_{4}x_{5}} + \frac{x_{4}x_{7}}{x_{5}x_{6}} + \frac{x_{1}x_{8}}{x_{3}x_{6}} - \frac{x_{2}x_{7}}{x_{3}x_{6}}.$$



Figure 7. (2, -3)-periodic initial values.

Because we subtracted I_1 from the coefficient of $\mu^2 \lambda$ in the definition of I_2 it factorizes nicely as JJ', where J is a 2-integral of the map and J' is the image of J under the map. Remember a k-integral is an integral of the kth power of the map [16]. Indeed, given that J'' = J, we find that the product JJ' = J''J' = (JJ')' is an invariant. But now, we can write down another (functionally independent) invariant, namely J + J'. Moreover, the integrals I_1, I_2, I_3, I_4 , with

$$I_4 = \frac{x_5^2}{x_4x_6} + \frac{x_3^2}{x_2x_4} + \frac{x_1x_7}{x_2x_6} - \frac{x_3x_5}{x_2x_6} + \frac{x_6^2}{x_5x_7} + \frac{x_4^2}{x_3x_5} + \frac{x_2x_8}{x_3x_7} - \frac{x_4x_6}{x_3x_7},$$

are functionally independent.

4.1.2. (2,-3)-reduction of the HADT equation. We specify initial values between two parallel lines that squeeze the stencil as in figure 7.

Clearly, given the 8 values $x_1, x_2, ..., x_8$ at the black dots one is able to determine the value x_9 at the white dots on the thick-dotted line, using the HADT equation. Thus we obtain an eight-dimensional mapping:

$$x_{i} \mapsto x_{i+1}, \qquad 1 \leq i < 8, x_{8} \mapsto \frac{x_{6}^{2}}{x_{4}^{2}} \left(\frac{x_{1}x_{7}}{x_{3}} - x_{5} \right) + \frac{x_{7}x_{5}}{x_{3}}.$$

$$(4.4)$$

To be able to evaluate monodromy matrix

$$\mathcal{L} = M_{1,0}^{-1} L_{0,1} M_{0,1}^{-1} M_{0,2}^{-1} L_{-1,3},$$

we first have to determine the value x_{10} . Using the stencils of the Lax matrices, see figures 3 and 4, it seems we also need to to determine the value x_0 , as both $L_{0,1}$ and $M_{0,1}^{-1}$ depend on x_0 . However, their product does not depend on x_0 . The characteristic polynomial is given by

$$Det(\mu I - \mathcal{L}) = \mu^2 ((\mu - \lambda)^2 + (\mu - \lambda)I_2 - \mu J J' + 1) + (\mu - \lambda)^3 - \mu (\mu - \lambda)^2 I_1$$

where

$$I_{1} = \frac{x_{4}x_{5}}{x_{3}x_{6}} + \frac{x_{3}x_{8}}{x_{5}x_{6}} + \frac{x_{1}x_{6}}{x_{3}x_{4}} + \frac{x_{2}x_{7}}{x_{4}x_{5}},$$

$$I_{2} = \frac{x_{2}x_{7}}{x_{3}x_{6}} \left(\frac{x_{1}x_{6}^{2}}{x_{4}^{2}x_{5}} + \frac{x_{3}^{2}x_{8}}{x_{4}x_{5}^{2}} + 1\right) + \frac{x_{1}x_{8}}{x_{4}x_{5}} - \frac{x_{6}x_{2}}{x_{4}^{2}} - \frac{x_{3}x_{7}}{x_{5}^{2}},$$

$$J = \frac{x_{1}x_{7} - x_{5}x_{3}}{x_{4}^{2}},$$

where J is a 2-integral of the mapping. The four integrals I_1 , I_2 , JJ' and J+J' are functionally independent.

4.1.3. General s-reduction. By imposing s-periodicity, that is,

$$\sigma_{l,m} = \sigma_{l+s_1,m+s_2},$$

our P Δ E reduces to a system of $r = \text{gcd}(s_1, s_2)$ O Δ Es. We perform so-called *s*-reduction, which is basically a change of variables $(l, m) \rightarrow (n, p)$.

Definition 1. We define $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\epsilon = \pm 1$ by $a/b = |s_1/s_2| = \epsilon s_1/s_2$; gcd(a, b) = 1; $b = 0 \Rightarrow c = 0, d = 1$; $b = 1 \Rightarrow c = 1, d = 0$; $b > 1 \Rightarrow c$ is the smallest positive integer such that bc - ad = 1. Now we specify

$$n_{l,m} := bl - \epsilon am, \qquad p_{l,m} := -dl + \epsilon cm \mod r, \tag{4.5}$$

The variable *n* tells us on which line with direction $(a, \epsilon b)$ the point is and *p* distinguishes the *r* inequivalent points on each line, see also [37]. The important properties are

$$(n, p)_{l+a,m+\epsilon b} = (n, p+1)_{l,m},$$
 $(n, p)_{l+c,m+\epsilon d} = (n+1, p)_{l,m}$

where the latter shift is the standard way to update the initial values.

We adopt the notation of [37], where reduced variables carry one upper and one lower index, that is, the reduction will be denoted $\sigma_{l,m} \rightarrow \sigma_n^p$. When specifying (periodic) initial value problems in terms of reduced variables we specify sets of variables σ_n^p where *n* runs over a specific range and *p* runs over the full range $p \in \mathbb{N}_r := \{0, 1, \dots, r-1\}$, cf [37].

The range of *n* is determined by the differences associated with each region. For the HADT equation, when $s \in R^1$ (where $\epsilon = -1$, see figure 5) the range of *n* will be from 0 to $n(d^1) - 1 = b \cdot 0 + a \cdot 4 - 1$, and when $s \in R^2$ we take $0 \le n < 4(b + \epsilon a) - 1$, using the differences (4.2).

Thus, we obtain

Proposition 2. The HADT equation admits a well-posed s-periodic initial value problem if (a, b) is not equal to (1, 0) or (1, -2).

- For s ∈ R¹ the set {σ_n^p : n ∈ N_{4a}, p ∈ N_r} provides a well-posed initial value problem of dimension 4|s₁|.
- For $s \in R^2$ the set $\{\sigma_n^p : n \in \mathbb{N}_{4(b+\epsilon a)}, p \in \mathbb{N}_r\}$ provides a well-posed initial value problem of dimension $4|s_1 + s_2|$.

Remark. In section 4.1.1 the initial values $\{\sigma_0^0, \ldots, \sigma_7^0\}$ are conveniently denoted $\sigma_n^0 = x_{8-n}$ and the mapping is the backward shift $n \mapsto n-1$, or $(l, m) \mapsto (l-1, m)$. In section 4.1.2 the initial values $\{\sigma_0^0, \ldots, \sigma_7^0\}$ are denoted $\sigma_n^0 = x_{n+1}$ and the mapping is the standard one $n \mapsto n+1$, that is $(l, m) \mapsto (l+1, m-1)$.

4.2. Initial value problems for the system of lattice equations (3.19)

The stencils of system (3.19) are depicted in figure 8. The S-directions in the S-polygons of the equations are (0, 1), (1, 0), (1, -1), and (1, -2). Therefore, we distinguish four regions in the plane, as in figure 9.

For each of the distinguished regions R^i we will project each stencil of the system onto a line with direction $s \in R^i$. On these lines we will indicate (schematically) the ranges of *n*-values for both field variables σ , ρ (our ranges start at 0). This procedure is similar to the scalar case, where the difference d^i is equivalent to a range for *n*. For every equation in a system we need a range (and hence a difference) for every field, and also their relative positions. Moreover, once we have these data, there is still some freedom left how to position



Figure 8. The 5-point and 7-point stencils of system (3.19), and their convex hulls.





Figure 10. *s*-Reduction for system (3.19), with $s \in \mathbb{N}^2$.

the equations with respect to each other. In fact, as we will show in appendix C, we have to split region R^4 into two regions where the two equations are positioned differently with respect to each other. Also we will see that for regions R^2 and R^3 we may take the same initial values. However, updating the initial values is done differently in those regions.

For $s \in R^1$ the ranges are given in figure 10. We now have to find a range of *n* for each variable σ , ρ such that each equation can be used to update one of the variables. Moreover, we want to minimize the number of field values that have to be calculated to update both fields. Therefore, we move the first equation in figure 10 over a distance *b* with respect to the other, see figure 11.

For system (3.19) with $s \in \mathbb{R}^1$ one can see that if we take the range $0 \le n < 4a + 3b$ for σ and the range $2a + b \le n < 2a + 2b$ for ρ , then using equation (3.19*a*) we can determine the values of ρ at $2a + 2b \le n \le 4a + 2b$. Subsequently, equation (3.19*b*) can be used to calculate the values of σ at n = 4a + 3b. We have obtained the first item in the following proposition, whose complete proof is given in appendix C.



Figure 11. Alternative *s*-reduction for system (3.19), with $s \in \mathbb{N}^2$.



Figure 12. (2,-1)-periodic initial values.

Proposition 3. Let $s \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ be such that (a, b) is not equal to (1, 0) or (1, -2), and let \mathbb{R}^i be as in figure 9. Then system (3.19) admits a well-posed s-periodic initial value problem.

• For $s \in R^1$ the set

$$\{\sigma_n^p, \rho_m^p : n \in \mathbb{N}_{4a+3b}, m-2a-b \in \mathbb{N}_b, p \in \mathbb{N}_r\}$$

provides a well-posed initial value problem of dimension $4|s_1 + s_2|$.

• For $s \in R^2 \cup R^3$ (including the boundary between R^2 and R^3) the set

 $\{\sigma_n^p, \rho_m^p : n \in \mathbb{N}_{4a-b}, m \in \mathbb{N}_b, p \in \mathbb{N}_r\}$

provides a well-posed initial value problem of dimension $4|s_1|$.

• For $s \in R^4$, $b \leq 3a$, the set

$$\{\sigma_n^p, \rho_m^p : n \in \mathbb{N}_{3b-4a}, m+5a-2b \in \mathbb{N}_b, p \in \mathbb{N}_r\}$$

provides a well-posed initial value problem of dimension $4|s_1 + s_2|$.

• For $s \in R^4$, $b \ge 3a$, the set

$$\{\sigma_n^p, \rho_m^p : n \in \mathbb{N}_{3b-4a}, m+4a-b \in \mathbb{N}_b, p \in \mathbb{N}_r\}$$

provides a well-posed initial value problem of dimension $4|s_1 + s_2|$.

4.2.1. (2,-1)-reduction of system (3.19). We specify initial values between two parallel lines that squeeze the σ -stencil, as in figure 12. The initial values are denoted $\sigma_n^0 = x_{n+1}$ and $\rho_n^0 = y_{n+1}$. Clearly, from these initial values we can calculate the values y_4 and y_2 , y_1 , using equation (3.19b). Then we know enough ρ -values to be able to calculate, using equation (3.19a), x_8 , which (to our surprise) does not depend on y_3 . Therefore, the σ -field is determined by a seven-dimensional mapping, namely

$$x_{i} \mapsto x_{i+1}, \qquad 1 \le i < 7,$$

$$x_{7} \mapsto \frac{x_{2}x_{7}}{x_{1}} - \frac{x_{2}x_{5}x_{6}}{x_{1}x_{4}} - \frac{x_{3}x_{4}x_{7}}{x_{1}x_{5}} - \frac{x_{3}^{2}x_{6}^{2}}{x_{1}x_{4}x_{5}}.$$
(4.6)



Figure 13. (2,-3)-periodic initial values.

We know enough values to determine the monodromy matrix $\mathcal{L} = L_0^1 (M_0^1)^{-1} L_0^1$. Its characteristic polynomial is given by

$$Det(\mu I - \mathcal{L}) = \mu^2((\mu - \lambda)^2 + (\mu - \lambda)I_1 + 1) - \lambda(\mu^2 J J' + \mu I_1 + 1),$$

where

$$I_{1} = -\frac{x_{3}x_{4}}{x_{1}x_{6}} + \frac{x_{3}x_{6}}{x_{4}x_{5}} - \frac{x_{4}x_{5}}{x_{7}x_{2}} + \frac{x_{1}x_{4}}{x_{2}x_{3}} + \frac{x_{5}x_{2}}{x_{3}x_{4}} + \frac{x_{4}x_{7}}{x_{5}x_{6}} + \frac{x_{5}x_{2}}{x_{1}x_{6}} + \frac{x_{6}x_{3}}{x_{7}x_{2}} - \frac{x_{5}^{2}x_{2}}{x_{7}x_{1}x_{4}} - \frac{x_{6}x_{3}^{2}}{x_{7}x_{1}x_{4}},$$
$$J = \frac{x_{1}x_{7} - x_{5}x_{3}}{x_{2}x_{6}} + \frac{x_{3}^{2}}{x_{2}x_{4}} + \frac{x_{5}^{2}}{x_{4}x_{6}}.$$

The integrals I_1 , JJ', J + J' are functionally independent.

4.2.2. (2,-3)-reduction of system (3.19). We specify initial values between two parallel lines that squeeze the σ -stencil, as in figure 13. From these initial values we can calculate the σ -value (x_6) on the dashed line. And once we have done that we can calculate y_4 . We find an eight-dimensional mapping, namely

$$\begin{aligned} x_i &\mapsto x_{i+1}, & 1 \leq i < 5, \\ x_5 &\mapsto x_5' = \frac{x_4 x_3 + y_1 x_4 - x_3 y_2}{x_1}, \\ y_i &\mapsto y_{i+1}, & i = 1, 2, \\ y_3 &\mapsto \frac{x_4 x_5 + y_1 x_5'}{x_3}. \end{aligned}$$

$$(4.7)$$

One has to calculate quite a few other points to be able to evaluate the monodromy matrix, whose characteristic polynomial is given by^6

$$Det(\mu I - \mathcal{L}) = \mu^2((\mu - \lambda)^2 + \mu I_1 + \lambda(JJ' - I_1 - 1) + 1) - \lambda^3,$$

where

$$I_{1} = -\frac{x_{3}x_{2}}{x_{1}x_{4}} + \frac{y_{3}y_{1}}{x_{5}x_{3}} + \frac{y_{3}y_{2}}{x_{5}x_{4}} + \frac{y_{2}y_{1}}{x_{3}x_{4}} - \frac{y_{2}^{2}}{x_{4}^{2}} - \frac{x_{5}x_{1}}{x_{3}^{2}} + \frac{x_{3}x_{2}y_{2}}{x_{1}x_{4}^{2}}$$
$$- \frac{x_{3}x_{4}}{x_{5}x_{2}} - \frac{x_{2}y_{1}}{x_{1}x_{4}} - \frac{x_{3}y_{2}}{x_{5}x_{2}} + \frac{x_{3}x_{4}y_{3}}{x_{5}^{2}x_{2}} - \frac{y_{1}^{2}}{x_{3}^{2}} - \frac{y_{3}^{2}}{x_{5}^{2}}$$
$$J = \frac{x_{1}x_{5}y_{2}}{x_{4}^{2}x_{2}} - \frac{y_{3}x_{1}}{x_{4}x_{2}} + \frac{x_{5}x_{1}}{x_{4}x_{2}} - \frac{x_{5}x_{3}}{x_{4}^{2}}.$$

The integrals I_1 , JJ', J + J' are functionally independent.

⁶ The factorization of $I_2 + I_1 + 1$ where I_2 is the coefficient of $\mu^2 \lambda$ is due to Viallet [40].



Figure 14. Distinguished regions for the QQD scheme.

4.3. Initial value problems for the QQD scheme

We define regions in the plane as follows:

$$\begin{split} R^{1} &= \{ s \in \mathbb{Z}^{2} : a \geqslant 0, b > 0, \epsilon = 1 \}, \\ R^{2} &= \{ s \in \mathbb{Z}^{2} : 0 < b \leqslant a, \epsilon = -1 \}, \\ R^{3} &= \{ s \in \mathbb{Z}^{2} : a \leqslant b < 2a, \epsilon = -1 \}, \\ R^{4} &= \{ s \in \mathbb{Z}^{2} : 2a < b \leqslant 3a, \epsilon = -1 \}, \\ R^{5} &= \{ s \in \mathbb{Z}^{2} : 3a \leqslant b, \epsilon = -1 \}, \end{split}$$

see figure 14. We prove proposition 4 in appendix D.

Proposition 4. The QQD scheme (3.20) admits a well-posed *s*-periodic initial value problem if (a, b) is not equal to (1, 0) or (1, -2).

• For $s \in R^1$ the set

$$\{u_{n}^{p}, v_{m}^{p}, w_{l}^{p} : n \in \mathbb{N}_{3a+2b}, m-2a \in \mathbb{N}_{a+b}, l-2a-b \in \mathbb{N}_{b}, p \in \mathbb{N}_{r}\}$$

provides a well-posed initial value problem of dimension $4|s_1 + s_2|$.

• For $s \in R^2$ the set

$$\{u_n^p, v_m^p, w_l^p : n - b \in \mathbb{N}_{3a-b}, m \in \mathbb{N}_a, l \in \mathbb{N}_b, p \in \mathbb{N}_r\}$$

provides a well-posed initial value problem of dimension $4|s_1|$.

• For $s \in R^3$ the set

$$\{u_n^p, v_m^p, w_l^p : n + a - b \in \mathbb{N}_{3a-b}, m \in \mathbb{N}_a, l \in \mathbb{N}_b, p \in \mathbb{N}_r\}$$

- provides a well-posed initial value problem of dimension $4|s_1|$.
- For $s \in R^4$ the set

$$\{u_n^p, v_m^p, w_l^p : n - 3a + b \in \mathbb{N}_{2b - 3a}, m \in \mathbb{N}_{b - a}, l \in \mathbb{N}_b, p \in \mathbb{N}_r\}$$

provides a well-posed initial value problem of dimension $4|s_1 + s_2|$. • For $s \in \mathbb{R}^5$ the set

$$\{u_n^p, v_m^p, w_l^p : n - 2a \in \mathbb{N}_{2b-3a}, m - a \in \mathbb{N}_{b-a}, l \in \mathbb{N}_b, p \in \mathbb{N}_r\}$$

provides a well-posed initial value problem of dimension $4|s_1 + s_2|$.

In the following reductions we adopt the notation $u_n^0 = x_n$, $v_n^0 = y_n$, $w_n^0 = z_n$.

4.3.1. (2,-1)-reduction of the QQD scheme. Updating the initial values as described in appendix D yields the following mapping:

$$\begin{array}{l}
x_{i} \mapsto x_{i+1}, & 1 \leq i < 5, \\
x_{5} \mapsto \frac{x_{1}y_{1}}{y_{1}'}, \\
y_{0} \mapsto y_{1}, \\
y_{1} \mapsto y_{1}' = x_{1} + y_{0} - x_{5} - z_{0} + \frac{x_{5}x_{4}z_{0}}{x_{1}x_{2}}, \\
z_{0} \mapsto \frac{x_{4}z_{0}}{x_{1}}.
\end{array}$$
(4.8)

The characteristic polynomial of the monodromy matrix is given by

$$\operatorname{Det}(\mu \mathbf{I} - \mathcal{L}) = \mu^2 ((\mu - \lambda)^2 + (\mu - \lambda)I_1 - \lambda J J' + I_2) + \lambda (\mu I_3 - I_4),$$

where

$$I_{1} = (y_{0} - z_{0} + x_{1})\frac{z_{0}x_{4}}{x_{1}} + y_{1}(z_{0} + x_{5} - y_{0}),$$

$$I_{2} = z_{0}x_{4}x_{5}y_{1},$$

$$I_{3} = (z_{0}x_{2} - x_{5}y_{1} - x_{2}x_{1} - y_{0}x_{2})x_{3}x_{4} - x_{1}y_{1}(x_{5}x_{4} + x_{2}x_{5} + x_{2}x_{3}),$$

$$I_{4} = x_{1}x_{2}x_{3}x_{4}x_{5}y_{1},$$

$$J = x_{1} + x_{3} + y_{0} - z_{0}.$$

The integrals I_1 , I_2 , I_3 , I_4 , $I_5 = JJ'$, $I_6 = J + J'$ are functionally independent.

4.3.2. (2,-3)-reduction of the QQD scheme. Updating the initial values as described in appendix D yields the following mapping:

$$\begin{array}{ll}
x_{i} \mapsto x_{i+1}, & i = 1, 2, \\
x_{3} \mapsto x_{3}' = \frac{y_{1}x_{1}}{y_{0}}, \\
y_{0} \mapsto y_{1}, \\
y_{1} \mapsto y_{0} + z_{2} - z_{0}, \\
z_{i} \mapsto z_{i+1}, & i = 0, 1, \\
z_{2} \mapsto \frac{x_{3}'z_{0}}{x_{2}}.
\end{array}$$
(4.9)

The characteristic polynomial of the monodromy matrix is given by

$$Det(\mu I - \mathcal{L}) = \mu^2((\mu - \lambda)^2 + \mu((I_4 - I_2 + 1)I_1 - I_3 - JJ') + \lambda(I_3 + (2I_2 - 3)I_1)) + \lambda^2(\mu(3 - I_2) - \lambda)I_1,$$

where

$$I_{1} = \frac{x_{2}x_{1}x_{3}}{y_{0}},$$

$$I_{2} = \frac{z_{2}}{x_{3}} + \frac{y_{0}}{x_{3}} + \frac{y_{0}}{x_{1}} + \frac{y_{1}}{x_{2}},$$

$$I_{3} = x_{2}z_{0} - x_{2}y_{0} - x_{2}z_{2} + z_{1}x_{3} - x_{3}y_{1} - y_{1}x_{1},$$

$$I_{4} = \frac{z_{0}z_{1}z_{2}}{x_{3}},$$

$$J = y_{0} - z_{0}.$$

5. Dimensional reduction

In this section we present the explicit formulae for the reduced variables, required to implement the dimensional reduction of the mappings obtained in section 4 from periodic reduction from the HADT equation and from system (3.19). These formulae arise from the symmetries of the partial difference equations. In certain cases an extra scaling symmetry of the integrals has to be used to obtain the dimensional reduction.

Whereas the dimensions of the *s*-reductions from the HADT equation, of the intermediate system, and of the QQD scheme all coincide, these reductions differ both with regard to the number of integrals we found in the previous section and with regard to the number of symmetries we will encounter in this section. The question whether the *s*-reductions of the various equations are related, e.g. through a change of variables, is left open.

5.1. The HADT equation

Equation (3.18) is invariant under the following continuous symmetries: $u \mapsto u\epsilon$ and $u \mapsto u\epsilon^{(-1)^{l}}$. The first yields a symmetry of the mappings, whereas the second gives us a 2-symmetry of the mappings. Their generators are

$$\sum_{i=1}^{8} x_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}, \qquad \sum_{i=1}^{8} (-1)^i x_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}.$$

A set of joint invariants of these vector fields is given by

$$\left\{w_1 = \frac{x_1 x_4}{x_2 x_3}, w_2 = \frac{x_2 x_5}{x_3 x_4}, w_3 = \frac{x_3 x_6}{x_4 x_5}, w_4 = \frac{x_4 x_7}{x_5 x_6}, w_5 = \frac{x_5 x_8}{x_6 x_7}, w_6 = \frac{x_6 x_1}{x_7 x_8}\right\}.$$

In these variables the s = (2, -1) mapping (4.3) reads $f = w_2 - w_5 + (w_3 - w_2)w_4 + w_1w_2w_3w_4w_5$,

$$w_{i} \mapsto w_{i+1}, \qquad 1 \leq i < 5,$$

$$w_{5} \mapsto \frac{f}{w_{2}w_{3}w_{4}w_{5}}, \qquad (5.1)$$

$$w_{6} \mapsto \frac{w_{2}w_{4}w_{6}}{w_{1}f}.$$

which has three functionally independent invariants

$$I_1 = w_1 + w_5 + w_2 w_4 (1 - w_1 w_3 w_5) + \frac{w_3 - 1}{w_2 w_3 w_4},$$
(5.2a)

$$I_2 = \frac{(w_1 w_2 w_3 w_4 + w_2 + w_3 - 1)(w_2 w_3 w_4 w_5 + w_3 + w_4 - 1)}{w_2 w_3 w_4},$$
 (5.2b)

$$I_3 = w_2 + w_3 + w_4 - w_4 w_2 + w_1 w_2 w_3 w_4 w_5.$$
(5.2c)

The 2-integral J is scaled by the generator of the second symmetry and so it does not survive the reduction. The s = (2, -3) mapping (4.4) reduces to the six-dimensional mapping

$$w_{i} \mapsto w_{i+1}, \qquad 1 \leq i < 5, \\ w_{5} \mapsto \frac{g}{w_{4}w_{5}}, \qquad g = w_{1}w_{2}w_{3} + \frac{1}{w_{3}} - \frac{1}{w_{4}}, \\ w_{6} \mapsto \frac{w_{4}w_{6}}{w_{1}w_{3}g}$$
(5.3)

and has three functionally independent invariants

$$I_1 = w_3(w_1w_2 + w_2w_4 + w_4w_5) + \frac{1}{w_3},$$

$$I_2 = w_2w_3^2w_4(w_1w_2 + w_1w_5 + w_4w_5) - w_2w_3 - w_3w_4 + w_2w_4,$$

$$JJ' = w_3(w_1w_2w_3w_4 - 1)(w_2w_3w_4w_5 - 1).$$

5.2. The lattice system (3.19)

For the case s = (2, -1) the mapping has a symmetry and a 2-symmetry, which arise from scaling symmetries of the system. Their generators are given by

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\gamma} x_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}, \qquad \sum_{i=1}^{\gamma} (-1)^i x_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}.$$

The integrals admit an extra scaling, which is neither a symmetry, nor a k-symmetry, of the mapping, namely

$$x_1\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} + x_2\frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} - x_5\frac{\partial}{\partial x_5} - x_6\frac{\partial}{\partial x_6} - 2x_7\frac{\partial}{\partial x_7}.$$

Still, we can use joint invariants of these three vector fields,

$$w_1 = \frac{x_1 x_4}{x_2 x_3}, \qquad w_2 = \frac{x_5 x_2}{x_3 x_4}, \qquad w_3 = \frac{x_3 x_6}{x_5 x_4}, \qquad w_4 = \frac{x_4 x_7}{x_5 x_6},$$

to reduce the dimension of the mapping by three dimensions. This is due to the fact that if we reduce the third vector field by the first two the resulting vector field is a symmetry of the reduced mapping. The mapping (4.6) reduces to a four-dimensional mapping

$$\begin{array}{l}
w_i \mapsto w_{i+1}, & 1 \leq i < 4, \\
w_4 \mapsto \frac{w_2 w_4 - w_2 - w_3 - w_4}{w_1 w_2 w_3 w_4},
\end{array}$$
(5.4)

which admits two functionally independent integrals

$$I_1 = w_1 + w_2 + w_3 + w_4 + \frac{w_1 w_3 + w_2 w_4 - w_1 - w_2 - w_3 - w_4}{w_1 w_2 w_3 w_4},$$

$$JJ' = (w_1 + w_2 + w_3 + w_4 - w_1 w_3 - w_1 w_4 - w_2 w_4) \frac{w_1 w_2 w_3 w_4 + w_2 + w_3 - 1}{w_1 w_2 w_3 w_4}.$$

Similarly we find three reductions for the s = (2, -3) case. Here choosing variables

$$w_1 = \frac{y_1}{x_3}, \qquad w_2 = \frac{y_2}{x_4}, \qquad w_3 = \frac{y_3}{x_5}, \qquad w_4 = \frac{x_2 x_3}{x_1 x_4}, \qquad w_5 = \frac{x_3 x_4}{x_2 x_5}$$

reduces the mapping (4.7) to the five-dimensional mapping

$$w_{i} \mapsto w_{i+1}, \qquad i = 1, 2, 4,$$

$$w_{3} \mapsto w_{1} + w'_{5}, \qquad (5.5)$$

$$w_{5} \mapsto w'_{5} = \frac{1}{(1 + w_{1} - w_{2})w_{4}w_{5}}.$$

This mapping admits the two integrals

$$I_{1} = w_{1}(w_{2} - w_{1}) + w_{2}(w_{3} - w_{2}) + w_{3}(w_{1} - w_{3}) + w_{4}(w_{2} - w_{1} - 1) + w_{5}(w_{3} - w_{2} - 1) - \frac{1}{w_{4}w_{5}},$$

$$JJ' = (1 + w_{2} - w_{3} - w_{4}) \left(1 - w_{2} + w_{3} - w_{5} - (w_{1} - w_{3} + w_{5})(w_{1} - w_{2}) - \frac{1}{w_{4}w_{5}}\right)$$

We note that in both cases the function J is no longer a 2-integral of the reduced mapping, and that J + J' cannot be the expression in the reduced variables. Also, in the s = (2, -3) case we have only two integrals for a five-dimensional mapping which is not enough for complete integrability. The reduction was done using 3 (scaling) symmetries of the integrals, but the function J is only invariant under one of them. It turns out it is also invariant under a linear combination of the other two. Using this, we can then perform 2-reduction for mapping (4.7), retaining all three integrals. Choosing variables

$$z_1 = \frac{x_2^2}{x_3 x_1}, \quad z_2 = \frac{x_3^2}{x_4 x_2}, \quad z_3 = \frac{x_4^2}{x_5 x_3}, \quad z_4 = \frac{y_2}{x_4}, \quad z_5 = \frac{y_3}{x_5}, \quad z_6 = \frac{y_1 x_5}{y_2^2},$$

the mapping reads

$$z_{i} \mapsto z_{i+1}, \qquad i = 1, 2, 4, z_{3} \mapsto z_{3}' = z_{1} z_{2}^{2} z_{3}^{2} (1 + z_{6} z_{4}^{2} z_{3} - z_{4}), z_{5} \mapsto z_{3} (z_{3}' + z_{4}^{2} z_{6}), z_{6} \mapsto \frac{z_{4}}{z_{3}' z_{5}^{2}},$$
(5.6)

which has three invariants:

$$z_{6}z_{3}z_{4}^{2}(z_{6}z_{4}^{2}z_{3} + z_{1}z_{2} - z_{4} - z_{5}) + z_{4}^{2} + z_{5}^{2} - z_{4}z_{5} + \frac{1}{z_{1}z_{2}^{2}z_{3}} - (z_{4} - 1)z_{1}z_{2} - (z_{5} - z_{4} - 1)z_{3}z_{2}$$

and J + J' and JJ', where $J = (1 + z_4 - z_5 - z_1 z_2)(z_1 z_2 z_3)^{-1}$.

Acknowledgments

Paul Spicer is funded by the research grant OT/08/033 of the research Council of Katholieke Universiteit Leuven. Peter van der Kamp is funded by the Australian Research Council through the Centre of Excellence for Mathematics and Statistics of Complex Systems. Many thanks to Claude Viallet for providing the 2-integral for the mapping (4.7).

Appendix A. Some determinantal identities

In the establishment of the recursive structure we need a number of determinantal identities, which we derive using the Sylvester identity. So we present a proof of the Sylvester identity, which was first presented by Kowalewski [20], Bareiss [2] and Malaschonok [24, 25] and these seven proofs are presented together in [1].

We consider an $(n + m) \times (n + m)$ matrix R with elements r_{ij} and determinant |R|, also written Det(R). Then we partition R and factor by block triangularization such that

$$R = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ C & \mathbf{1} \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{1} & A^{-1}B \\ 0 & D - CA^{-1}B \end{pmatrix},$$
(A.1)

where A is a nonsingular square matrix of order n, then

$$|R| = |A| |D - CA^{-1}B|.$$
(A.2)

If we multiply both sides by $|A|^{m-1}$, this becomes

$$|A|^{m-1}|R| = ||A|(D - CA^{-1}B)|$$

because the determinant on the right-hand side of (A.2) is of order *m*. We can reduce this equation further to

$$|A|^{m-1}|R| = ||A|D - C\tilde{A}B|, \tag{A.3}$$

since $A^{-1} = (\tilde{A}/|A|)$ (where \tilde{A} represents the adjugate matrix of the inverse matrix A^{-1}), and the determinant of A is assumed to be $\neq 0$. Specifying some entries in (A.1), taking A to be an $n \times n$ block and D to be an $m \times m$ block, we have the formula

$$(\operatorname{Det}(A))^{m-1} \begin{vmatrix} A & | & b_1 & \dots & b_m \\ - & + & - & - & - \\ c_1^t & | & & \\ \vdots & | & D \\ c_m^t & | & & \end{vmatrix} = \operatorname{Det}_{m \times m} \left\{ \operatorname{Det}_{n \times n}(A) D_{ij} - (c_i^t \tilde{A} b_j) \right\}_{i,j=1,\dots,m}$$
(A.4)

in which the full matrix is supplemented with *m n*-component column vectors b_i and *m n*-component row-vectors c_i^t . If we consider the case m = 2, i.e. the removal of two rows and columns, then we obtain the determinant identity

$$(\operatorname{Det}(A)) \begin{vmatrix} A & | & b_1 & b_2 \\ - & + & - & - \\ c_1^t & | & d_{11} & d_{12} \\ c_2^t & | & d_{21} & d_{22} \end{vmatrix} = \operatorname{Det}_{2 \times 2} \left\{ (\operatorname{Det}(A)) \begin{pmatrix} d_{11} & d_{12} \\ d_{21} & d_{22} \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} c_1^t \tilde{A}b_1 & c_1^t \tilde{A}b_2 \\ c_2^t \tilde{A}b_1 & c_2^t \tilde{A}b_2 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$$
$$= [\operatorname{Det}(A)d_{11} - c_1^t \tilde{A}b_1] [\operatorname{Det}(A)d_{22} - c_2^t \tilde{A}b_2]$$
$$- [\operatorname{Det}(A)d_{21} - c_2^t \tilde{A}b_1] [\operatorname{Det}(A)d_{12} - c_1^t \tilde{A}b_2],$$

which can be symbolically written as



(where the red lines denote rows and columns omitted from the original determinant). It is then necessary to reorder the position of the row and column to tailor the identity to our requirements.

While (A.5) is the key identity by which the recurrence structure for ordinary one-variable orthogonal polynomials is obtained, for the elliptic two-variable orthogonal polynomials we need (in addition to (A.5)), determinantal identities involving the simultaneous removal of more than two rows and columns. Thus, the main identity we use from the general formula (A.4) will be the case m = 3, leading to the different recurrence relations for (3.3) and (3.7). So

considering m = 3 we obtain from (A.4) the following 3-row/column Sylvester type identity:



which is obtained from the expansion of (A.4) for m = 3 after recombination of terms using the earlier 2-row/column Sylvester identity (A.5).

Appendix B. Intermediate determinants

Previously we have chosen to apply a 3 row/column Sylvester identity to the $P_k^{(l)}$ and $Q_k^{(l)}$ polynomials since this identity (A.6) does not introduce new determinants. However for some 2 row/column Sylvester identities it is possible to control new determinants, which can be removed to still give equations in terms of $P_k^{(l)}$ and $Q_k^{(l)}$ only. These '*intermediate*' determinants are introduced here.

B.1. The linear $P_k^{(l)}$ equation

By considering the $P_k^{(l)}$ with the first column and penultimate row removed we obtain the *intermediate* quantity:

$$T_{k-1}^{(l)}(x, y) \equiv \begin{vmatrix} \langle e_l, e_2 \rangle & \langle e_l, e_3 \rangle & \cdots & \cdots & \langle e_l, e_k \rangle \\ \langle e_{l+1}, e_2 \rangle & \langle e_{l+1}, e_3 \rangle & \cdots & \cdots & \langle e_{l+1}, e_k \rangle \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & & & \vdots \\ \langle e_{l+k-3}, e_2 \rangle & \langle e_{l+k-3}, e_3 \rangle & \cdots & \cdots & \langle e_{l+k-3}, e_k \rangle \\ e_2 & e_3 & \cdots & \cdots & e_k \end{vmatrix} / \Pi_{k-2}^{(l)},$$
(B.1)

together with a corresponding Hankel determinant:

$$\Pi_{k-1}^{(l)} \equiv \begin{vmatrix} \langle e_l, e_2 \rangle & \langle e_l, e_3 \rangle & \cdots & \cdots & \langle e_l, e_k \rangle \\ \langle e_{l+1}, e_2 \rangle & \langle e_{l+1}, e_3 \rangle & \cdots & \cdots & \langle e_{l+1}, e_k \rangle \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ \langle e_{l+k-2}, e_2 \rangle & \langle e_{l+k-2}, e_3 \rangle & \cdots & \cdots & \langle e_{l+k-2}, e_k \rangle \end{vmatrix} .$$
(B.2)

Using the usual Sylvester identity we can now derive the following two equations:

$$P_{k}^{(l)} \Rightarrow P_{k}^{(l)} = T_{k-1}^{(l+1)} - \frac{\Delta_{k-2}^{(l+1)} \Pi_{k-1}^{(l)}}{\Delta_{k-1}^{(l)} \Pi_{k-2}^{(l+1)}} P_{k-1}^{(l+1)}, \qquad (B.3a)$$

$$P_{k}^{(l)} \Rightarrow P_{k}^{(l)} = T_{k-1}^{(l)} - \frac{\Delta_{k-2}^{(l)} \Pi_{k-1}^{(l)}}{\Delta_{k-1}^{(l)} \Pi_{k-2}^{(l)}} P_{k-1}^{(l)} .$$
(B.3b)

Eliminating the $T_k^{(l)}$ polynomials in favour of the $P_k^{(l)}$ polynomials and using a Hankel identity

$$\Pi_{k}^{(l)} \Rightarrow \Pi_{k}^{(l)} \Delta_{k-2}^{(l+3)} = \Pi_{k-1}^{(l)} \Delta_{k-1}^{(l+3)} - \Pi_{k-1}^{(l+1)} \Delta_{k-1}^{(l+2)}$$
(B.4)

allows the derivation of the linear relation in $P_k^{(l)}$ (3.12).

B.2. The bilinear relation in $\Delta_k^{(l)}, \Theta_k^{(l)}$

It is possible to combine some three-term bilinear Hankel relations, to give a three-term relation in terms of $\Delta_k^{(l)}, \Theta_k^{(l)}$. This particular identity is achieved with the introduction of a new *intermediate* determinant Ξ which is essentially Θ with the first column and last row removed.

$$\Delta_{k}^{(l)} \Rightarrow \Delta_{k}^{(l)} \Pi_{k-2}^{(l+2)} = \Theta_{k-1}^{(l)} \Pi_{k-1}^{(l+1)} - \Delta_{k-1}^{(l+1)} \Xi_{k-1}^{(l)}, \qquad (B.5a)$$

$$\Theta_{k}^{(l)} \Rightarrow \Theta_{k}^{(l)} \Pi_{k-2}^{(l+2)} = \Theta_{k-1}^{(l)} \Pi_{k-1}^{(l+2)} - \Delta_{k-1}^{(l+2)} \Xi_{k-1}^{(l)}, \qquad (B.5b)$$

where

$$\Xi_{k-1}^{(l)} \equiv \begin{vmatrix} \langle e_l, e_2 \rangle & \langle e_l, e_3 \rangle & \cdots & \cdots & \langle e_l, e_k \rangle \\ \langle e_{l+2}, e_2 \rangle & \langle e_{l+2}, e_3 \rangle & \cdots & \cdots & \langle e_{l+2}, e_k \rangle \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots \\ \langle e_{l+k-1}, e_2 \rangle & \langle e_{l+k-1}, e_3 \rangle & \cdots & \cdots & \langle e_{l+k-1}, e_k \rangle \end{vmatrix} .$$
(B.6)

 $\Xi \text{ is then eliminated in the combination of the two bilinear relations (B.5).} \\ \Delta_{k-1}^{(l+1)}(\Theta_{k-1}^{(l)}\Pi_{k-1}^{(l+2)} - \Theta_{k}^{(l)}\Pi_{k-2}^{(l+2)}) = \Delta_{k-1}^{(l+2)}(\Theta_{k-1}^{(l)}\Pi_{k-1}^{(l+1)} - \Delta_{k}^{(l)}\Pi_{k-2}^{(l+2)}). \\ \text{This equation can be expanded (in the third term) using a third bilinear equation}$ (B.7)

$$\Delta_{k}^{(l)} \Rightarrow \Delta_{k}^{(l)} \Pi_{k-2}^{(l+1)} = \Delta_{k-1}^{(l)} \Pi_{k-1}^{(l+1)} - \Delta_{k-1}^{(l+1)} \Pi_{k-1}^{(l)} .$$
(B.8)

 $\begin{array}{c} | & \\ \\ & \\ \Delta_{k-1}^{(l+1)}(\Theta_{k-1}^{(l)}\Pi_{k-1}^{(l+2)} - \Theta_{k}^{(l)}\Pi_{k-2}^{(l+2)}) = \Theta_{k-1}^{(l)}(\Delta_{k-1}^{(l+1)}\Pi_{k-1}^{(l+2)} - \Delta_{k}^{(l+1)}\Pi_{k-2}^{(l+2)}) - \Delta_{k-1}^{(l+2)}\Delta_{k}^{(l)}\Pi_{k-2}^{(l+2)}. \\ \\ \text{After we have cancelled the necessary terms, we are left with (3.17b).} \end{array}$

B.3. Relations in $P_k^{(l)}$ and $Q_k^{(l)}$

For relations involving the Hankel determinants $P_k^{(l)}$ and $Q_k^{(l)}$ we can derive a recurrence relation $x Q_k^{(l)}$ and two linear relations. By implementing a cutting of rows and columns on the matrix for $P_k^{(l)}$ according to



and applying the 3-row/column Sylvester identity in that situation, we obtain

$$P_{k}^{(l)} = x Q_{k-2}^{(l+2)} - \frac{\Delta_{k-2}^{(l)} \Theta_{k-3}^{(l+2)}}{\Delta_{k-1}^{(l)} \Theta_{k-3}^{(l+2)}} P_{k-1}^{(l)} + \frac{\Theta_{k-2}^{(l)} \Delta_{k-2}^{(l+2)}}{\Delta_{k-1}^{(l)} \Theta_{k-3}^{(l+2)}} Q_{k-1}^{(l)}, \qquad l \neq 0, 1.$$
(B.9)

For the linear relations we first obtain

which by eliminating the $T_k^{(l)}$ using (B.3*a*) together with the Hankel identity (B.5*a*) leads to

$$Q_k^{(l)} = P_k^{(l+1)} + \frac{\Delta_k^{(l)} \Delta_{k-2}^{(l+2)}}{\Theta_{k-1}^{(l)} \Delta_{k-1}^{(l+1)}} P_{k-1}^{(l+2)} .$$
(B.11)

This three-term equation in terms of $Q_k^{(l)}$ and $P_k^{(l)}$ is similar to (3.12). To find another of this type of equation we must first introduce the *intermediate* polynomials $S_{k-1}^{(l)}$:

$$S_{k-1}^{(l)}(x, y) \equiv \begin{vmatrix} \langle e_l, e_2 \rangle & \langle e_l, e_3 \rangle & \cdots & \cdots & \langle e_l, e_k \rangle \\ \langle e_{l+2}, e_2 \rangle & \langle e_{l+2}, e_3 \rangle & \cdots & \cdots & \langle e_{l+2}, e_k \rangle \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ \langle e_{l+k-2}, e_2 \rangle & \langle e_{l+k-2}, e_3 \rangle & \cdots & \cdots & \langle e_{l+k-2}, e_k \rangle \\ e_2 & e_3 & \cdots & \cdots & e_k \end{vmatrix} / \Gamma_{k-2}^{(l)}.$$
(B.12)

Then applying the following Sylvester identities to $P_k^{(l)}$ and $Q_k^{(l)}$, we subsequently obtain the relations:

$$Q_{k}^{(l)} \Rightarrow Q_{k}^{(l)} = S_{k-1}^{(l)} - \frac{\Gamma_{k-1}^{(l)} \Theta_{k-2}^{(l)}}{\Gamma_{k-2}^{(l)} \Theta_{k-1}^{(l)}} Q_{k-1}^{(l)} , \qquad (B.13a)$$



Figure 15. *s*-Reduction for system (3.19), with $s \in R^2$.

Figure 16. *s*-Reduction for system (3.19), with $s \in R^3$.

$$P_{k}^{(l)} \Rightarrow P_{k}^{(l)} = S_{k-1}^{(l)} - \frac{\Pi_{k-1}^{(l)} \Theta_{k-2}^{(l)}}{\Gamma_{k-2}^{(l)} \Delta_{k-1}^{(l)}} Q_{k-1}^{(l)} .$$
(B.13b)

We eliminate $S_{k-1}^{(l)}$ and making use of the Hankel determinant identity

$$\Delta_{k}^{(l)} \Rightarrow \Delta_{k}^{(l)} \Xi_{k-2}^{(l)} = \Delta_{k-1}^{(l)} \Xi_{k-1}^{(l)} - \Theta_{k-1}^{(l)} \Pi_{k-1}^{(l)}, \qquad (B.14)$$

which leaves

$$Q_{k}^{(l)} = P_{k}^{(l)} - \frac{\Delta_{k}^{(l)}\Theta_{k-2}^{(l)}}{\Delta_{k-1}^{(l)}\Theta_{k-1}^{(l)}}Q_{k-1}^{(l)} .$$
(B.15)

Appendix C. s-Periodic initial value problems for system (3.19)

Proposition 3 is obtained by considering the ranges of the *n*-values for the equations with periods taken in the remaining regions. When $s \in R^2$ (see figure 9) we obtain the following reduction given in figure 15. So we first need to calculate the values of ρ for $b \leq n \leq 2a-b$. This can be done using equation (3.17*a*) because the values of σ at *n* smaller than 2a + (2a - b - b) = 4a - 2b are given initially. Then the values of σ at n = 4a - b are determined by (3.17*b*). When $s \in R^3$ we obtain the reduction given in figure 16. Here, the dashed line indicates that a + b can either be to the left, or to the right of 4a - b. We have a + b < 4a - b in the region 3a > 2b > 2a. Here it does not matter whether one first calculates the values of σ for $4a - b \leq n \leq a + b$, using equation (3.17*a*). This can be done indeed, because the values of ρ at n = b are given initially. Then the values of σ at n = 4a - b. We have a + b < 4a - b in the region 3a > 2b > 2a. Here it does not matter whether one first calculates the values of ρ of σ for $4a - b \leq n \leq a + b$, using equation (3.17*a*). This can be done indeed, because the values of ρ with $n \leq 2a - b + (a + b) - (4a - b) = b - a$ are given initially. Subsequently the values of ρ at n = b can be obtained.

When $s \in R^4$ we also need to distinguish two cases, but here this leads to different initial value problems. When $2a > b \leq 3a$ we can first calculate the values of σ at n = 3b - 4a,



Figure 19. Graphical representation of the QQD scheme.

and then the values of ρ at n = 3b - 5a, see figure 17. When $b \ge 3a$ we need first calculate the values of ρ at n = 2b - 4a, and then the values of σ at n = 3b - 4a, see figure 18.

We leave it to the reader to check that the given initial value problems can also be updated in the negative n-direction. In appendix E we illustrate with an example that this is not necessarily the case, and thus has to be verified separately.

Appendix D. s-Periodic initial value problems for the QQD scheme

The stencils of the QQD scheme (3.20) are depicted in figure 19. To describe initial value problems for the QQD scheme we follow the following procedure. We project the stencils of the three equations onto lines with directions in different regions and translate them in such a way that, given the values of the fields for certain ranges of n, each equation determines a value for an update of one of the fields. The proof of proposition consists of five pictures, one for each region (see figure 14). For every picture one has to check the following:

- (1) that the ranges of *n*-values for u, v, w correspond to each equation in the system, for any chosen direction *s* in the particular region, this includes checking the order of the linear expressions in *a*, *b*.
- (2) that, given the initial values for *u*, *v*, *w*, every equation can be used to update one of the fields (in both directions).

We will perform check (1) and check (2, positive direction) for the first picture, and check (2, positive direction) for the other pictures. This enables one to perform *s*-reduction for any given $s \in \bigcup_i R^i$. The rest of the proof is left to the reader.



Figure 20. *s*-Reduction of the QQD scheme, $s \in R^1$.



Figure 21. The equations of the QQD scheme on top of each other, and a line with direction $(2, 1) \in \mathbb{R}^1$.

When $\epsilon = 1$, as in region \mathbb{R}^1 , with one step to the right (downwards) n increases by b (by a). Let n be equal to 0 on a line with direction $s \in \mathbb{R}^1$ through the first point u in the first equation of the system (the upper-left point in figure 19). We let the upper-left point from the second equation coincide with the one of the first equation and we let the upper-left point from the third equation be one step to the right, so that it is at distance b from the others, as in figure 21. Moving the line over figure 21 in downward direction, depending on the direction s, the line will either first cross this point at distance b, or the point in the second equation at distance 2a. This is indicated in figure 20 by the dashed line between b and 2a. Next the line moves over the point with distance 2a + b, and then it depends on s whether 2a + 2b or 3a + b is encountered first. This is again indicated by a dashed line. Thus, figure 20 represents the ranges of the n-values of the three fields of the three equations in the QQD scheme and their relative positions, for $s \in \mathbb{R}^1$.

Next, suppose initially we are given the values of u at $0 \le n < 3a + 2b$, of v at $2a \le n < 3a + b$, and of w at $2a + b \le n < 2a + 2b$. Then, for all $s \in R^1$, we can use the second equation to determine the value of v at n = 3a + b. If a < b, then we know all values of w at $2a+b \le n < 3a+b$, and hence we can use the first equation to calculate the value of u at n = 3a + 2b. Then, we can calculate the value of w at n = 2a + 2b. However, if $a \ge b$, then we first have to use the last equation to calculate all values of w at $2a + 2b \le n \le 3a + b$, before we can use the first equation to calculate the value of u at n = 3a + 2b. In both cases, the initial value problem is well-posed.

In R^2 we first use the third equation to determine the values of w at $b \le n \le a$, then the first equation to determine the values of v at n = a, and finally the second equation to determine the values of u at n = 3a (figure 22).

In R^3 the values of u at n = 2a and the values of v at n = a can be determined independently, using the second and first equation, respectively. After having determined the values of u at n = 2a we are able to find the w at n = b, using the third equation (figure 23).



Figure 23. *s*-Reduction of the QQD scheme, $s \in R^3$.



Figure 24. *s*-Reduction of the QQD scheme, $s \in R^4$



Figure 25. *s*-Reduction of the QQD scheme, $s \in R^5$.

In R^4 the values of u at n = b and the values of v at n = b - a can be determined independently, using the first and second equation, respectively. After having determined the values of u at n = b we are able to find the w at n = b, using the third equation (figure 24).

In R^5 the values of v and w at n = b can be determined independently, using the second and third equation, respectively. Next the values of u at n = 2b - a can be determined using the first equation (figure 25).

Appendix E. A noninvertible reduction of the QQD scheme

Using the same notation as in section 4.3, consider initial values $\{x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, y_0, y_1, z_0, z_1\}$ for the (2, -1) reduction of the QQD scheme. We use equation (3.20b) to determine x_5 , equation (3.20c) to determine z_2 and equation (3.20a) to determine y_2 . Thus we find the nine-dimensional mapping

$$\begin{aligned} x_i &\mapsto x_{i+1}, \quad 0 \leqslant i < 5, \\ x_4 &\mapsto x_5 = \frac{y_0 x_0}{y_0}, \\ y_0 &\mapsto y_1, \\ y_1 &\mapsto x_1 + y_0 - z_0 + z_2 - x_5, \\ z_0 &\mapsto z_1, \\ z_1 &\mapsto z_2 = \frac{x_5}{x_2} z_1 \end{aligned}$$
 (D.1)

for which the staircase method does yield five functionally independent integrals. However, the mapping is not invertible and so the initial value problem is not well-posed. This example illustrates that in order to prove the well-posedness of an initial value problem one has to show the initial values can be updated in both directions.

References

- Akritas A G, Akritas E K and Malaschonok G I 1996 Various proofs of Sylvester's (determinant) identity Math. Comput. Simul. 42 585–93
- Bareiss E H 1968 Sylvester's identity and multistep integer preserving Gaussian elimination Mathematics of Computation 22 565–78
- [3] Erdelyi A (ed) 1953 Orthogonal polynomials Higher Transcendental Functions (Bateman Manuscript Project) vol 2 (California Institute of Technology) Ch X, pp 153–231 http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/ Bateman_Manuscript_Project
- [4] Bressoud D 1999 Proofs and Confirmations: The Story of the Alternating Sign Matrix Conjecture (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press)
- [5] Brezinski C 1977 Accélération de la Convergence en Analyse Numérique (Lecture Notes in Mathematics vol 584) (Berlin: Springer)
- [6] Brezinski C 1980 Padé-Type Approximation and General Orthogonal Polynomials (International Series of Numerical Mathematics vol 50) (Basel: Birkhäuser)
- [7] Brezinski C 1992 A unified approach to various orthogonalities Ann. Faculté Sci. Toulouse vol I pp 277-92
- [8] Brezinski C 1995 Formal orthogonality on an algebraic curve Ann. Numer. Anal. 2 21-33
- [9] Bruschi M, Ragnisco O, Santini P M and Zhang T G 1991 Integrable symplectic maps Physica D 49 273-94
- [10] Carlitz L 1960 Some orthogonal polynomials related to elliptic functions *Duke Math. J.* 27 443–59
 Carlitz L 1961 Some orthogonal polynomials related to elliptic functions: II. Arithmetic properties *Duke Math. J.* 28 107–24
- [11] Chihara T S 1978 An Introduction to Orthogonal Polynomials (New York: Gordon and Breach)
- [12] Draux A 1983 Polynômes Orthogonaux Formels, Applications (Lecture Notes in Mathematics vol 974) (Berlin: Springer)
- [13] Frobenius G 1881 Uber Relationen zwischen den N\u00e4hrungsbruchen von Potenzreihen J. Reine Angew. Math. (Crelle's J.) 1881 (90) 1–17
- [14] Gragg W B 1972 The Padé table and it's relation to certain algorithm of numerical analysis SIAM Rev. 14 1-62
- [15] Papageorgiou V, Grammaticas B and Ramani A 1993 Integrable lattices and convergence acceleration algorithms Phys. Lett. A 179 111–5
- [16] Haggar F, Byrnes G B, Quispel G R W and Capel H W 1996 k-integrals and k-Lie symmetries in discrete dynamical systems *Physica* A 233 379–94
- [17] Henrici P 1958 The quotient-difference algorithm Further Contributions to the Solution of Simultaneous Linear Equations and the Determonation of Eigenvalues (National Bureau of Standards Applied Mathematics Series 49) (Washington, DC: US Government Printing Office) pp 23–46
- [18] Hirota R 1977 Nonlinear partial difference equations: II J. Phys. Soc. Japan 43 2074-89

- [19] Jackson D 1936 Formal properties of orthogonal polynomials in two variables Duke Math. J. 2 423-34
- [20] Kowalewski G 1948 Einfürung in die Determinantentheorie (New York: Chelsea)
- [21] Krall H L and Sheffer I M 1967 Orthogonal polynomials in two variables Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. (4) 76 325-76
- [22] Krichever I M and Novikov S P 1979 Holomorphic fiberings and nonlinear equations Sov. Math. Dokl. 20 650–4 Krichever I M and Novikov S P 1981 Holomorphic bundles over algebraic curves and nonlinear equations Russ. Math. Surv. 35 53–79
- [23] Lomont J S and Brillhart J 2000 Elliptic Polynomials (London/Boca Raton: Chapman and Hall/CRC)
- [24] Malaschonok G I 1983 Solution of a system of linear equations in an integral domain USSR J. Comput. Math. Math. Phys. 23 1497–500 (in Russian)
- [25] Malaschonok G I 1987 Solution of a System of Linear Equations over a Commutative Ring (Mathematical Notes of the Academy of Sciences of the USSR vol 42, no 4) pp 543–8 (Engl. Transl. from Russian)
- [26] McCoy B M and Wu T T 1980 Nonlinear partial difference equations for the two-dimensional Ising model Phys. Rev. Lett. 45 675–8
- [27] Muir T 1960 A Treatise on the Theory of Determinants (New York: Dover) (reprinted from the 1933 monograph published by Longmans, Green and Company)
- [28] Nieszporski M and Santini P M 2005 The self-adjoint 5-point and 7-point difference operators, the associated Dirichlet problems, Darboux transformations and Lelieuvre formulae Glasgow. Math. J. 47 133–47
- [29] Papageorgiou V G, Nijhoff F W and Capel H W 1990 Integrable mappings and nonlinear integrable lattice equations Phys. Lett. A 147 106–14
- [30] Papageorgiou V, Grammaticos B and Ramani A 1995 Orthogonal polynomial approach to discrete Lax pairs for initial boundary-value problems of the QD algorithm Lett. Math. Phys. 34 91–101
- [31] Perk J H H 1980 Quadratic identities for Ising model correlations Phys. Lett. A 79 3-5
- [32] Quispel G R W, Capel H W, Papageorgiou V G and Nijhoff F W 1991 Integrable mappings derived from soliton equations *Physica* A 173 243–66
- [33] Rutishauser H 1954 Der quotienten-differenzen algorithmus Z. Angew. Math. Phys. 5 233-51
- [34] Spicer P E 2006 On orthogonal polynomials and related discrete integrable systems *PhD Thesis* University of Leeds and http://etheses.whiterose.ac.uk/101/
- [35] Suetin P K 1999 Orthogonal Polynomials in Two Variables (Gordon and Breach Science Publishers)
- [36] Toda M 1981 Theory of Nonlinear Lattices (Berlin: Springer)
- [37] van der Kamp P H 2009 Initial value problems for lattice equations J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. 42 404019
- [38] van der Kamp P H and Quispel G R W 2010 The staircase method: integrals for periodic reductions of integrable lattice equations J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. 43 465207
- [39] Veselov A P 1991 Integrable maps Russ. Math. Surv. 46 1-51
- [40] Viallet C 2010 private communication
- [41] Wynn P 1961 The epsilon algorithm and operational formulas of numerical analysis Math. Comput. 15 151-8
- [42] Wynn P 1966 On the convergence and stability of the epsilon algorithm SIAM J. Numer. Anal. 3 91-122